

CERTIFIED MAIL

Providence Day School, Inc c/o Paul Ibsen 5800 Sardis Road Charlotte, NC 28270-5365

RE: VARIANCE

5800 SARDIS ROAD CASE NUMBER 11-038

Dear Providence Day School, Inc:

At its meeting on October 25, 2011, the City of Charlotte Zoning Board of Adjustment ("Board") **denied** a variance to locate the required 75' Class B buffer on an adjoining parcel.

The Board based its decision on the following findings of fact:

- 1. The applicant is Providence Day School, Inc. (Represented by John Carmichael).
- 2. The proposed site is located at 5800 Sardis Road, further identified as tax parcel 187-041-21.
- 3. The subject parcel is zoned R-3 (Residential District).
- 4. The parcel is part of an approximate 43.5 acre campus for Providence Day School.
- 5. Code Section 12.302 requires a 75' wide Class "B" buffer.
- 6. The applicant is requesting to locate the required 75' Class "B" buffer on the adjacent parcel.
- 7. Per Section 12.302 and 12.540, a buffer and screening is required for a high school adjacent to a residential use.
- 8. A portion of the tennis courts is located in the required buffer between the applicant's parcel and Tax Parcel 187-041-24.
- 9. A land hardship does not exist because the hardship is personal as the result of the applicant constructing the tennis courts in the required buffer.
- 10. In seeking a permit for the tennis courts in 2001, the applicant showed the outdoor recreation facility would be in compliance with the separation and buffer requirements.
- 11. Land transactions in 2008 made the recreation facility no longer in compliance with the separation and buffer requirements.

Decision Letter Case #11-038 Page 2 of 2

Based upon the above findings of fact, the Board concludes that the applicant has failed to meet the three standards stated in §5.108(1) of the Ordinance, and more specifically:

- 1. Granting the variance will be in direct violation of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 2. No practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships exist that would prevent the owner from securing a reasonable return or making a reasonable use of the property.

Pursuant to N. C. G. S. Section 160A-388(e), the Board's decision in Case No. 11-038 may be appealed by a petition for review in the nature of *certiorari* to Superior Court within thirty (30) days from the date stated below, which is the date when the decision of the Board was filed in the Planning Department/Zoning Administration Division, or within thirty (30) days after receipt of the decision by an aggrieved party who filed a written request for such copy with the Clerk to the Board at the time of the hearing of the case, whichever is later.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Dayis

Chairperson

11-22-11

Date

DECISION FILED IN THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

Movember 22, 2011

Katrina Young, Zoning Administrator