

CERTIFIED MAIL

Horizon Christian Fellowship Church 7936 Steele Creek Road Charlotte, NC 28217

RE: VARIANCE

7936 STEELE CREEK ROAD CASE NUMBER 11-007

Dear Horizon Christian Fellowship Church:

At its meeting on March 29, 2011, the City of Charlotte Zoning Board of Adjustment ("Board") **denied** (1) a 25 foot variance from the required 38 foot "Class C" buffer to allow a driveway and (2) no action was taken on the variance request to allow secondary vehicle access for a religious institution by way of a residential local street.

The Board based its decision on the following findings of fact:

- 1. The applicant is Horizon Christian Fellowship Church (Represented by Jonathan Hutchinson).
- 2. The proposed site is located at 7936 Steele Creek Road, further identified as tax parcel 141-112-03.
- 3. The subject parcel is zoned R-3 (Residential District).
- 4. A religious institution currently occupies this location.
- 5. The applicant is proposing to construct an additional building on site and reconfigure an existing driveway to be located in the required buffer.
- 6. The applicant has other locations on the property where the primary access could be located and not disrupt the required buffer.
- 7. The property currently has two access points along Steele Creek Road.
- 8. The hardship is a result of the applicant's configuration of the site and not that of the City's Zoning Ordinance.
- 9. The applicant is proposing to locate secondary access along Snow Ridge Road to a planned parking lot.
- 10. The hardship is personal in nature.
- 11. Snow Ridge Lane is a "Class C" street.
- 12. Denying the variance request would not prevent continued development of the site.

Decision Letter Case #11-007 Page 2 of 2

Based upon the above findings of fact, the Board concludes that the applicant has failed to meet the three standards stated in §5.108(1) of the Ordinance, and more specifically:

- 1. No practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships exist that would prevent the owner from securing a reasonable return or making a reasonable use of the property.
- 2. Granting the variance will be in direct violation of the Zoning Ordinance.
- 3. The spirit of the Ordinance would not be observed, because granting the variance would have the effect of amending the Zoning Ordinance.

Pursuant to N. C. G. S. Section 160A-388(e), the Board's decision in Case No. 11-007 may be appealed by a petition for review in the nature of *certiorari* to Superior Court within thirty (30) days from the date stated below, which is the date when the decision of the Board was filed in the Planning Department/Zoning Administration Division, or within thirty (30) days after receipt of the decision by an aggrieved party who filed a written request for such copy with the Clerk to the Board at the time of the hearing of the case, whichever is later.

Sincerely,

Jeff Davis
Chairperson

Cc: Jonathan Hutchinson, Architect

DECISION FILED IN THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT:

Date April

Katrina Young, Zoning Admin