Hearing Request Application - Form 1
Zoning Board of Adjustment
City of Charlotte

,	Charlotte
e Filed: 2/27/09 Case Number: 0	9-012 Received by: Sheunedy
This form must be filed out completely. Pleas on your request type along with required info Please type or print legibly. All property owner	ructions se attach the appropriate additional form depending ormation as outlined in the appropriate checklist. rs must sign and consent to this application, attach is not the owner, the owners must sign the Designation
The Applicant Hereby (check all that apply): Requests a variance from the provisions of Appeals the determination of a zoning office Requests an administrative deviation as stated	rial as stated on Form 3
Applicant or Agent's Name: Guy P. Peters, PE	
Mailing Address: PO Box 7030	
City, State, Zip: Charlotte, NC 28241	
Daytime Telephone: 803-802-2440	Home Telephone: 704-506-2384
Interest in this Case (please circle one):	Owner Other
Property Owner(s) [if other than applicant/agent]:	Ryan & Christina A. Cole
Mailing Address: 3217 Westfield Road	
City, State, Zip: Charlotte, NC 28209	
Daytime Telephone: 704-607-3019	Home Telephone: 704-607-3019
Property Address: 3217 Westfield Rd, Charlotte, I	NC 28209
Tax Parcel Number: 17517717	Zoning District: <i>R-5</i>
Subdivision Name: None	Conditional District: YES NO
part of this application are true and correct. In the e rendered may be revoked at any time. I (we) hereby	, the attached form(s) and documents submitted by me (us) as vent any information given is found to be false, any decision appoint the person named above as my (our) agent to ings related to it. I (we) further certify to have received, read
Date	Property Owner

Variance Application - Form 2 Zoning Board of Adjustment City of Charlotte

Date Fil	ed:	Case Number:_			Fee Collected:
	Have you received a for this project? Has this property be	in a building permit? a Notice of Violation een rezoned?	YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO NO	If yes, attach a copy. If yes, attach a copy. If yes, Petition Number:
requi	irement and the reque	sted variance.	ou seeking		nce from? Please list each section, the
Item	Code Section	Code Requirement		V	ariance Request
A B	City Code 9-102.5	Dry Land Access		Pu	iblic Street Access Below Base Flood Elev. (BFE)
C					
D E					
access. E	levation of existing pu		oject reside		oes not allow for establishment of dry land rcel is below the effective base flood elevation
CAR deter argui	ERYING OUT THE rmine whether, in a puments in support of ea (a) If the property of secure no reasonable	STRICT LETTER OF articular situation, "prach of the following: wner/applicant compli	THE OR actical diffines with the oreasonab	DINAN iculties e provide use of	CESSARY HARDSHIPS IN THE WAY OF ICE. The courts have developed three rules to or unnecessary hardships" exist. State facts and sions of the Ordinance, the property owner can of his property. (It is not sufficient that failure to
					of the existing public infrastructure would be
					ng a dozen or more existing homes. No d be expected for a single family parcel owner.
Teasonau	te return on this type (of investment in public	IIII asii ucii	ne cour	d be expected for a single family parcel owner.

The land is located in an existing floodplain of Little Sugar Creek. An ordinance-compliant structure can be built on the
land, but as stated in (a), modifications to the infrastructure to provide dry land access are impractical. This is a unique
set of circumstances peculiar to a portion of the neighborhood.
dev or executative a person of the respective to
(c) The hardship is not the result of the Applicant's own actions.
The hardship is not the result of the Applicant's actions. The existing public infrastructure has existed since the first half
of the twentieth century.
(4) THE VARIANCE IS IN HARMONY WITH THE GENERAL PURPOSE AND INTENT OF THE ORDINANCE AND PRESERVES ITS SPIRIT. (State facts and arguments to show that the requested variance represents the least possible deviation from the letter of the Ordinance to allow a reasonable use of the land; and, the the use of the property, if the variance is granted, will not substantially detract from the character of the neighborhood.)
The variance will allow for an ordinance-compliant structure to be built and will remove a non-compliant structure from
the regulated floodplain. Removal of non-compliant structures is a stated goal of the Mecklenburg Flood Mitigation
Program. The proposed home will have only a slightly increased footprint; its size and architectural style is very much in
character with other redeveloped properties in the neighborhood.
(5) THE GRANTING OF THE VARIANCE SECURES THE PUBLIC SAFETY AND WELFARE AND DOE SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE. (State facts and arguments to show that, on balance, if the variance is denied, the benefit to the public will be substantially outweighed by the harm suffered by the Applicant.)
Granting of the variance maintains the status quo in terms of dry land access, but enhances safety of the owners in that it
allows for a safer, ordinance-compliant structure. Roadway flooding depth in the base flood is relatively shallow and
vehicle access for emergency service providers is maintained.

(b) The hardship of which the Applicant complains results from unique circumstances related to the Applicant's land. (Note: Hardships common to an entire neighborhood, resulting from overly restrictive zoning regulations,



3217 Westfield Road Google





