

VARIANCE APPLICATION

Case #: 06-027	_
Date Filed: 2-6-06	_
Meeting Date:	
Received By:	
Office Use Only	

THIS APPLICATION MUST BE FILED IN PERSON, IT CAN NOT BE ACCEPTED BY MAIL

Variance requested on property located at: 4632 Staffordshire Lane
Property Zoned: MX-1 (Rezoning Petition #2000-18C)
Tax Parcel #:051-21-803
Property Owner: D. R. Horton Inc.
Date Existing Structure Erected: October 4, 2005
TO THE CHARLOTTE ZONING BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT:
I Schwart Z, hereby petition the Board of (name)
Adjustment for a VARIANCE from the literal provisions of the Charlotte Zoning Ordinance because, under the interpretation given to me by the Zoning Administrator, I am prohibited from using the parcel of land described above in a manner shown by the Plot Plan attached to this form. I request a variance from the following provisions of the Zoning Ordinance (cite Section numbers and Code requirements): As per Mecklenburg County Zoning, property zoned MX-1 (rezoning petition #2000-18C) must adhere to a ten foot front setback and a twenty foot front load
garage setback. If the planned garage space is not converted to living space,
the house as constructed violates the twenty foot front load garage setback. The house will have no garage unless a variance is granted.
Describe the VARIANCE being requested on the above referenced property:
Allow the existing garage space to remain and encroach ten feet onto the twenty foot front load garage setback requirement in MX-1 (rezoning petition #2000-18C)

FACTORS RELEVANT TO THE ISSUANCE OF A VARIANCE:

The Board of Adjustment does not have unlimited discretion in deciding whether to grant a variance. Under the state enabling act, the Board is required to reach three conclusions as a prerequisite to the issuance of a variance: (a) that there are practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships in the way of carrying out the strict letter of the Ordinance, (b) that the variance is in harmony with the general purposes and intent of the Ordinance and preserves its spirit, and (c) that in the granting of the variance, the public safety and welfare have been assured and substantial justice has been done. In the following spaces, indicate the <u>facts</u> and the <u>argument</u> you plan to render, in order to convince the Board, to properly determine that each of these three (3) CONCLUSIONS are applicable to this structure and site.

- (a) THERE ARE PRACTICAL DIFFICULTIES OR UNNECESSARY HARDSHIPS IN THE WAY OF CARRYING OUT THE STRICT LETTER OF THE ORDINANCE. The courts have developed three rules to determine whether, in a particular situation, "practical difficulties or unnecessary hardships" exist. State facts and arguments in support of each of the following:
 - (1) If the property owner/applicant complies with the provisions of the Ordinance, the property owner can secure no reasonable return from, or make no reasonable use of, his property. (It is <u>not</u> sufficient that failure to grant the variance simply makes the property less valuable.)

property less variable.
If the owner of the property complies with the provisions of the
ordinance, the only way to construct a house with a garage is to tear
down the existing structure and rebuild it ten feet from its
present location.

- (2) The hardship of which the Applicant complains results from unique circumstances related to the Applicant's land. (Note: Hardships common to an entire neighborhood, resulting from overly restrictive zoning regulations, should be referred to the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission. Also, unique personal or family hardships are irrelevant since a variance, if granted, runs with the life of the land.)
 - The hardship of which the applicant complains results from the existing structure being ten feet over front load garage setback as per map book 37 page 781 in the Mecklenburg County Register of Deeds. In order for the house to have a garage, the existing house will have to be demolished and reconstructed ten feet from its present location. The applicant will have no reasonable return on the property.

THE VARI INTENT OI arguments to the letter of property, if the vari proposed of without of mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed by By grantin	engineering plans were completed prior to ordinance taking ect. The house is over the front load garage setback due to fusion on plans. The house layout was staked out without regathe limitations of the twenty foot front load garage setback
THE VARI INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the var proposed of without of mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed be By grantin	fusion on plans. The house layout was staked out without rega
THE VARI INTENT Of arguments to the letter of property, if the vari proposed of without of mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed by By grantin	
THE VARI INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the neighborhood if the var proposed of without of mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed be By granting	the limitations of the twenty foot front load garage setback
THE VARI INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the vari proposed of without of mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed be By granting	
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	uirement.
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	
INTENT OF arguments to the letter of property, if the reighborhood of the variable of the vari	
THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed b	o show that the requested variance represents the <u>least</u> possible deviation from the Ordinance to allow a reasonable use of the land; and, that the use of the the variance is granted, will not substantially detract from the character of the
mean it is thereby de THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed by grantin	d.) riance is denied, then measures will be taken to move the
without of mean it is thereby do thereby do thereby do the thereby do the thereby do the thereby do the the thet, on bala outweighed by granting by granting the thet.	driveway to the side of the house to allow two parking spaces
THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed by granting	bstructing access to sidewalk per ordinance. However, this wi
THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed b	s the only single family home in the tract without a garage,
THE GRAN WELFARE that, on bala outweighed b	etracting from the character of the neighborhood.
WELFARE that, on bala outweighed b By grantin	0010001119
WELFARE that, on bala outweighed b By grantin	
that, on bala outweighed b By grantin	NTING OF THE VARIANCE SECURES THE PUBLIC SAFETY AND AND DOES SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE. (State facts and arguments to show
outweighed b By grantin	ance, if the variance is denied, the benefit to the public will be substantiall
	by the harm suffered by the Applicant.)
so that ca	ng the variance, the house will still have twelve feet of driv
	ars may be parked without obstructing the walkway.
	ars may be parked without obstructing the walkway.
	ars may be parked without obstructing the walkway.

I certify that all of the information presented by me in this application is accurate to the best of my knowledge,

D. R. Horton Inc.	Stantec Consulting Services Inc.		
Printed Name of Appellant	Printed Name of Representative (if any)		
1100 N. Tryon Street, Suite 100	2127 Ayrsley Town Blvd., Ste. 300		
Mailing Address	Mailing Address		
Charlotte, NC 28203	Charlotte, NC 28273		
City, State, Zip	City, State, Zip		
(704) 377–2006 (704) 377–1687	_(704) 329–0900 (704) 329–0905		
Telephone Number Fax Number	Telephone Number Fax Number		
E-Mail Address	E-Mail Address		
Signature of Appellant	Represented By (Signature)		
IF THE APPELLANT IS NOT THE OW APPEAL IS BEING REQUESTED, indicate to Property Owner (If different from			
Property Owner (II different from	r Appenant)		
Address			
City, State & Zip			
City, State & Zip			

TYPE OR PRINT below the COMPLETE names, tax parcel numbers, mailing addresses and zip codes for the owners of the adjacent properties, including the properties directly across the street from the property, for which a variance is being requested. (Property ownership information is available at:

http://meckcama.co.mecklenburg.nc.us/relookup/ or http://mcmf.co.mecklenburg.nc.us:3007/cics/txre/txre00i

ADJACENT PROPERTY OWNERS:

1.	D. R. Horton Inc.	Tax Parcel # 051-21-802
	4636 Staffordshire Lane Lot 1	
2.	D. R. Horton Inc.	Tax Parcel #051-21-804
	4628 Staffordshire Lane Lot 3	
3.	KBONE Inc.	Tax Parcel #051-21-805
	13706 Traherne Ct. Lot 4	
4.	Inc. Coventry Neighborhood Association Open Space	C. Tax Parcel # 051-21-980
	COS-5 MB 37 PG 781	
5.		Tax Parcel #
6.		Tax Parcel #
0.		Tax Latour //
7.		Tax Parcel #
8.		Tax Parcel #
9.		Tax Parcel #
10.		Tax Parcel #

These persons, including the Appellant and the representative, will be notified in writing of the time and place of the hearing. (Attach additional sheet if necessary).

ATTACH A PHYSICAL SURVEY OR DRAW A SITE PLAN, TO SCALE, DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY AND VARIANCE REQUEST. PROVIDE ALL APPROPRIATE DIMENSIONS; LOCATE ALL EXISTING AND PROPOSED BUILDINGS/STRUCTURES, AND PROVIDE THEIR DISTANCE TO THE PROPERTY LINES, RIGHTS-OF-WAY, ETC.				



D.R. Horton Inc. here by grants Stantec Consulting Inc. permission to appear before the board to present the application for a garage setback variance on Lot 2 of the Coventry 2 Subdivision, Ph 1 Map 1.

Richard Schwartz D.R. Horton Inc.

1100 South Tryon Street, Suite 100

Charlotte, N.C. 28203

Subscribed and sworn to	before the undersigne	ed, a Notary Public for the	e County
of linia,	state of North Caroli	re, in said	
this 18th day of _	January	_, 2006	
	·	Notary Public	LOP
My commission expires:	02/08/2010		

