Perkins Eastman

ARCHITECTURE
CONSULTING
INTERIOR DESIGN
PLANNING
PROGRAMMING

February 3, 2010

Penelope Cothran Charlotte Mecklenburg Planning Department 600 East Fourth Street 8th floor Charlotte, NC 28202

Re: Project Name: Belmont Korners Rezoning

Project Number: 33261.00

Rezoning Petition 2010-013 Community Meeting

Dear Ms. Cothran:

On behalf of the petitioners Roger and Perina Stewart, enclosed, you will find the following information as it relates to Rezoning Petition 2010-013.

- 1. Notice of Community Meeting
- 2. Community Meeting Sign In Sheet
- 3. List of invitees (Residents and surrounding community leaders)
- 4. Community Meeting Summary

If you have any questions or need any further information please feel free to contact me at any time.

Sincerely,

John S. Mang Senior Associate

cc: File, Doug Burns, Perina Stewart, Claire Lyte-Graham

NORTH AMERICA
ARLINGTON, VA
BOSTON, MA
CHARLOTTE, NC
CHICAGO, IL
NEW YORK, NY
OAKLAND, CA
PITTSBURGH, PA
STAMFORD, CT
TORONTO, ON
SOUTH AMERICA

GUAYAQUIL, ECU ASIA MUMBAI, IND SHANGHAI, PRC

MIDDLE EAST DUBAI, UAE

PERKINS EASTMAN ARCHITECTS, PC 520 WEST SIXTH STREET CHARLOTTE, NC 28202 T. 704.940.0501 F. 704.362.4602 Dear Community Residents and Leaders,

Please join us for a community meeting to discuss Charlotte Mecklenburg Planning Department Rezoning Petition 2010-013, and the proposed Site Plan Amendment for the redevelopment of 1013, 1017, and 1021 Belmont Avenue and 1100 Allen Street. A brief presentation will be followed by open discussion and questions and answer session. Please note this is a Site plan Amendment to the previously approved Rezoning Petition 2009-31

Date February 2, 2010

Time **5:30pm – 6:30pm**

Location **Belmont Regional Center**700 Parkwood Avenue
Charlotte, NC 28205

Your attendance is encouraged. We look forward to your participation.

Sincerely,

Roger and Perina Stewart

Perkins Eastman

COMMUNITY MEETING SIGN IN SHEET

Date:

February 2, 2010

Project Name:

Belmont Korners

Project Number:

Rezoning Petition 2010-013

Subject:

Community Meeting

Meeting Location:

Belmont Regional Center

Meeting Date:

February 2, 2010

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE	EMAIL
ille Hut	1116 G.1545L	704-648-540	
TOHN AMON	857 BELMONT ANE	704 451 78(2	<u>amonpaving@att</u>
			,

Petition #: 2010-013

Petitioner: Roger and Perina Stewart

Existing Zoning: UR-3 (CD)

Proposed Zoning: UR-3 (CD) S.P.A.

Location: Approximately 0. 68 acres at Belmont Ave. and Allen St

Community Meeting Summary

The Community meeting was held on February 3, 2010 at 5:30pm at the Belmont Regional Center. After a presentation of the proposed development, and explaining the purpose of the proposed site plan changes, floor was opened up for discussion.

- 1. There were no objections to the plan as presented or the site plan changes proposed.
- 2. What type of housing units are proposed? The petitioner responded 16 apartments for seniors (55 years of age and above)
- 3. The exact location of the rebuilt convenience store was discussed. It was explained that the new store is in approximately the same location; however it is larger in area and closer to the street corner, but within all required setbacks.
- 4. The question was raised about the type of retail to be included in the project. The petitioner responded that the existing convenience store currently on site would be relocated into the new structure and be expanded to offer the community a greater variety of goods, including a deli, fresh meats and produce, and a small outdoor dining area for carry out deli food.
- 5. How many office suites are proposed? The petitioner responded no more than three suites. It was also discussed that there are use limitations to the occupancy of the office space. Uses that promote loitering such as beauty parlors and barber shops will not be allowed under this rezoning.
- 6. A community member asked if beer and wine would continue to be sold in the convenience store. The petitioner responded yes, however fortified beer and wine would not be sold.
- 7. There was a discussion on how security was to be handled to eliminate loitering. The additional density was discussed as a positive to increase the amount of "community watch" of the property by the residents that will live there. The petitioner is also considering the use of paid security guards.
- 8. It was explained that dumpsters and refuse related to the commercial uses are contained within the building footprint, and will not be visible to the street.
- A community member asked what the sidewalks would look like. The planting strips and sidewalks were described to be at a minimum within City streetscape and Belmont Small Area Plan design requirements
- 10. Traffic impact was discussed. It was noted that the development would actually reduce the trips generated by 500 trips according to CDOT's estimates
- 11. The perimeter fence to the site was discussed. It was noted that it would be a privacy type fence, minimum of six feet tall, and made of wood or vinyl.

In closing, it was agreed by both the petitioner and community members in the room that the redevelopment of the property will be good for the surrounding neighborhood. The petitioners stated their willingness to work with the community to address any concerns as the project is further developed.