

### Rezoning Petition 2009-075

## **ZONING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION**

January 20, 2015

The petitioner is requesting a withdrawal of this petition.

**REQUEST** Current Zoning: R-12MF, multi-family residential

Proposed Zoning: O-1, office

**LOCATION** Approximately 0.64 acres located on the east side of West Sugar Creek

Road between North Tryon Street and Penny Way.

**CENTER, CORRIDOR OR** 

WEDGE

Corridor

**SUMMARY OF PETITION** This petition proposes to rezone the property to allow all uses permitted

in the O-1 district.

**Property Owner** 

Petitioner

Dona M. Patterson Dona M. Patterson

Agent/Representative

None

**Community Meeting** Meeting not required.

**ZONING COMMITTEE** 

**ACTION** 

The Zoning Committee voted unanimously to recommend **DENIAL** of

this petition.

**VOTE** Motion/Second: Allen/Griffith

> Yeas: Allen, Dodson, Griffith, Randolph, and Walker

Navs: N/A

Absent: Rosenburgh and Simmons

Recused: N/A

**ZONING COMMITTEE** 

**DISCUSSION** 

Staff reviewed the petition, recommending denial of the petition based on the adopted land use plan. A Committee member asked if the character of West Sugar Creek was going to change with transit. Staff responded that the residential recommendation of the area plan would continue even with transit. Several Committee members stated they could not support the petition because it was conventional and they

would have liked to seen a site plan.

STATEMENT OF **CONSISTENCY** 

This petition is found to be inconsistent with the *Northeast District Plan* and not reasonable and in the public interest, by a 4-1 vote of the Zoning Committee (motion by Commissioner Allen seconded by

Commissioner Griffith).

**STAFF OPINION** Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

### **FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS**

(Pre-Hearing Analysis online at <a href="www.rezoning.org">www.rezoning.org</a>)

### **PLANNING STAFF REVIEW**

#### **Proposed Request Details**

This is a conventional rezoning petition with no associated site plan.

### **Public Plans and Policies**

- The Northeast District Plan (1996) recommends multi-family residential uses for the subject
- This petition is inconsistent with Northeast District Plan.

## • STAFF RECOMMENDATION (Updated)

• Staff agrees with the recommendation of the Zoning Committee.

### PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE UPDATES (see full department reports online)

CDOT: No issues.

Charlotte Fire Department: No issues.

• CATS: No issues.

• **Connectivity:** No issues.

Neighborhood and Business Services: No issues.

• Schools: There will be on increase in students.

• Park and Recreation: No comments received.

## **ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE SITE DESIGN** (see full department reports online)

Storm Water: No issues.

LUESA: No issues.

Site Design: There is no site plan associated with this conventional rezoning request.

### **OUTSTANDING ISSUES**

No issues.

# Attachments Online at www.rezoning.org

- Application Form
- CATS Review
- CDOT Review
- Charlotte Fire Department Review
- CMS Review
- LUESA Review
- Neighborhood and Business Services Review
- Pre-Hearing Staff Analysis
- Storm Water Review

Planner: Solomon Fortune (704) 336-8326