

Charlotte Department of Transportation Memorandum

Date: December 23, 2008

To: Tom Drake & Tammie Keplinger

Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department

From: Scott L. Putnam

Development Services Division

Subject: Rezoning Petition 09-018: Located on the north side of Monroe Road

between McAlway and Commonwealth

Avenue

Consistency with Transportation Action Plan (TAP): The two goals of the TAP that most directly affected the staff's review of this petition define the integration of land use and transportation, and the provision of transportation choices.

Goal 1 of the TAP relies on the Centers, Corridors and Wedges land use strategy to be implemented. This project site is located in a Corridor and appears to support the Centers, Corridors and Wedges land use strategy.

Goal 2 of the TAP describes various connectivity and design features that are important for motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists. Specific comments are identified below that need to be addressed for CDOT's support of the petition and to bring the site plan into compliance with the TAP and best practices for multimodal transportation.

Vehicle Trip Generation

This site could generate approximately 2,300 trips per day as currently zoned. Under the proposed zoning the site could generate approximately 3,600 trips per day. This will have a minor impact on the surrounding thoroughfare system.

Since access is to an NCDOT-maintained roadway, they may require a Traffic Impact Study as part of their driveway permit approval process. They may have additional or different requirements for their approval than what is identified in this rezoning process. The developer/petitioner is recommended to meet with NCDOT early in the development process to identify any issues that they may have.

We have the following specific comments that are critical to CDOT's support of the rezoning petition:

1. Should this petition be approved the existing driveway will not be grandfathered as part of the rezoning process. The building permit for construction of the storage building on the site will require that the existing driveway be reconstructed to meet current standards for a commercial driveway.

Tom Drake & Tammie Keplinger December 23, 2008 Page 2 of 2

We have the following general comments that are provided to aid the petitioner in planning and subsequent permitting phases:

Monroe Road is a major thoroughfare requiring a minimum of 100 feet of right-of-way. The developer/petitioner should convey right-of-way in fee simple title to meet this requirement, measuring 50 feet from the centerline of the roadway.

Adequate sight triangles must be reserved at the existing/proposed street entrance(s). Two 35' x 35' and two 10' x 70' sight triangles are required for the entrance(s) to meet requirements. All proposed trees, berms, walls, fences, and/or identification signs must not interfere with sight distance at the entrance(s). Such items should be identified on the site plan.

The driveway connection(s) to Monroe Road will require a driveway permit(s) to be submitted to CDOT and the North Carolina Department of Transportation for review and approval. The exact driveway location(s) and type/width of the driveway(s) will be determined by CDOT during the driveway permit process. The locations of the driveway(s) shown on the site plan are subject to change in order to align with driveway(s) on the opposite side of the street and comply with City Driveway Regulations and the City Tree Ordinance.

Any fence or wall constructed along or adjacent to any sidewalk or street right-of-way requires a certificate issued by CDOT.

A Right-of-Way Encroachment Agreement is required for the installation of any non-standard item(s) (irrigation systems, decorative concrete pavement, brick pavers, etc.) within a proposed/existing City or NCDOT maintained street right-of-way by a private individual, group, business, or homeowner's/business association. An encroachment agreement must be approved by NCDOT prior to the construction/installation of the non-standard item(s). Contact NCDOT for additional information concerning cost, submittal, and other requirements.

If we can be of further assistance, please advise.

SLP

c: R. H. Grochoske (via email)

S. L. Habina – Review Engineer (via email)

B. D. Horton (via email)

A. Christenbury (via email)

T. Votaw (via email)

E. D. McDonald (via email)

Rick Seifert (via email)

NCDOT/Louis Mitchell (via email)

NCDOT/Scott Cole (via email)

Rezoning File