## SEPTEMBER 2007 SURVEY RESPONSES Infrastructure GDP

- ✓ Respondents were asked to what degree they agreed with the stated policy statements
- ✓ **145** Total Respondents

## OVERALL AVERAGES

An average <b>81.22%</b> of all respondents either Strongly Agree or Agree with policy statements	Average Response
Strongly Agree	42.84%
Agree	38.38%
Neutral	12.05%
Disagree	5.15%
Strongly Disagree	1.58%

POLICY 1.a: Take a comprehensive and coordinated approach to defining existing and future infrastructure needs, based on the City's land use policies and overall growth framework of Centers, Corridors and Wedges.

80.69% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	43.45%
Agree	37.24%
Neutral	13.79%
Disagree	2.76%
Strongly Disagree	2.76%

POLICY 2.a: Support a coordinated and comprehensive funding/prioritization strategy for all public infrastructure (as defined in these GDP) making Centers and Corridors priority areas for capital investments.

71.72% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	30.34%
Agree	41.38%
Neutral	15.86%
Disagree	8.97%
Strongly Disagree	3.45%

POLICY 2.b: Strive to have infrastructure projects that address a variety of needs, are multi-purpose (e.g. Right-of-Way and greenway) and take advantage of opportunities to share elements (e.g. parking, best management practices for stormwater projects, sidewalks, and schools/parks/watershed protection).

78.62% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	41.38%
Agree	37.24%
Neutral	15.17%
Disagree	4.83%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%

POLICY 2.c: Seek innovative techniques for meeting infrastructure needs.

86.90% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	46.21%
Agree	40.69%
Neutral	9.65%
Disagree	3.45%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%

POLICY 2.d: Ensure that privately-constructed infrastructure (e.g. stormwater infrastructure) meets all local standards prior to the City accepting ownership of it.

88.97% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	66.90%
Agree	22.07%
Neutral	7.58%
Disagree	2.76%
Strongly Disagree	0.69%

POLICY 2.e: Design and construct public infrastructure to maximize anticipated life and minimize life cycle costs.

85.52% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	61.38%
Agree	24.14%
Neutral	10.34%
Disagree	4.14%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%

POLICY 2.f: Provide funding to ensure that existing infrastructure is well maintained.

90.34% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	51.72%
Agree	38.62%
Neutral	4.83%
Disagree	3.45%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%

POLICY 3.a: Continue to consider both non-financial and financial strategies that are potential/feasible options for Charlotte to better meet infrastructure needs.

86.90% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	40.00%
Agree	46.90%
Neutral	10.34%
Disagree	2.76%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%

POLICY 4.a: Facilitate growth consistent with the Centers, Corridors and Wedges Growth Framework.

64.83% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	28.97%
Agree	35.86%
Neutral	23.44%
Disagree	8.28%
Strongly Disagree	3.45%

POLICY 4.b: Encourage infill and redevelopment as one strategy to take advantage of existing infrastructure

77.93% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	36.55%
Agree	41.38%
Neutral	14.48%
Disagree	5.52%
Strongly Disagree	2.07%

POLICY 4.c: Use area plans as a tool to better link future land uses with infrastructure needed to serve it and with the Centers, Corridors and Wedges Growth Framework.

80.69% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	33.79%
Agree	46.90%
Neutral	13.79%
Disagree	5.52%
Strongly Disagree	0.00%

POLICY 4.d: Ensure that decisions regarding location and intensity of development take into account geographic areas in which infrastructure is (and will be) available.

80.00% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	35.17%
Agree	44.83%
Neutral	12.41%
Disagree	4.83%
Strongly Disagree	2.76%

POLICY 4.e: Consider both the on-site and community-wide impacts of a proposed development on public infrastructure (e.g., roadways, parks and recreation, police and fire protection, schools, stormwater, water and sewer); as well as the possibility of timing/phasing development as infrastructure can be provided.

82.76% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	40.00%
Agree	42.76%
Neutral	6.89%
Disagree	6.90%
Strongly Disagree	3.45%

POLICY 5.a: Make the protection of the natural environment a priority in the infrastructure design and construction process, while acknowledging the need to balance the advantages of the improvements with their environmental impacts.

77.25% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	47.59%
Agree	29.66%
Neutral	12.40%
Disagree	8.97%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%

POLICY 5.b: Consider the impacts to existing neighborhoods when providing infrastructure.

82.07% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	40.00%
Agree	42.07%
Neutral	11.03%
Disagree	5.52%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%

POLICY 5.c: Consider sustainability (location, design, materials, operation) when making infrastructure decisions.

84.83% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	42.07%
Agree	42.76%
Neutral	9.65%
Disagree	4.14%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%

POLICY 6.a: Encourage regional partners to be engaged in collaborative problem-solving to identify creative regional solutions to infrastructure issues.

80.69% Strongly Agree or Agree	Response
Strongly Agree	42.76%
Agree	37.93%
Neutral	13.10%
Disagree	4.83%
Strongly Disagree	1.38%