

CHARLOTTE HISTORIC DISTRICT COMMISSION CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

CERTIFICATE NUMBER: HDC 2014-058

DATE: April 30, 2014

ADDRESS OF PROPERTY:

1715 Euclid Avenue

HISTORIC DISTRICT: Dilworth

TAX PARCEL NUMBER: 12308302

OWNER(S): Bryan Carey

DETAILS OF APPROVED PROJECT: Proposal: Inground pool with perimeter at grade paving equals 962 square feet. (See exhibit labelled Site Plan April 2014).

Applicable Policy & Design Guidelines:

<u>REAR YARDS page 64.</u> 1. The Commission may allow Staff Approval of work in rear yards that will not be substantially visible from a street. This includes fencing, landscaping. Driveways, parking pads, decks, patios, pools, and additions that are no wider than the present house and no higher than the original roof.

2. In a single family use, no more than 50% of the rear yard can be of an impermeable material.

Proposal: Remove trees (See exhibit labelled Site Plan April 2014).

Applicable Policy & Design Guidelines:

<u>Tree Removal page 59</u>. 1. A certified Arborist should be consulted in all applications regarding the removal of trees, and regarding the planting of trees when necessary.

2. The removal of dead or diseased trees will not require a Certificate of Appropriateness, provided a written assessment by a Certified Arborist is submitted.

Approval Authority - Tree removal was reviewed by the Urban Forestry Supervisor. Removal has been recommended by the same

Certified Arborist. (See exhibit labelled Tree Letter April 2014).

Policy & Design Guidelines met.

- This Certificate of Appropriateness indicates that this project proposal has been determined to comply with the standards and policies of the Charlotte Historic District Commission.
- No other approvals are to be inferred.
- > No demolition other than that specifically indicated on any attached plans is authorized under this approval.
- > All work must be completed in accordance with all other applicable state and local codes.
- Any changes from or additions or deletions to the plans referenced herein will void this Certificate, and a new application must be filed with the Historic District Commission.

This Certificate is valid for a period of six months from the date of issuance. Failure to obtain a building permit in that time will be considered as a failure to comply with the Certificate and the Certificate will become invalid. If a building permit is not required, then the approved work must be completed within six months of the date of issuance of this Certificate. The Certificate can be renewed within twelve months of its issuance by Historic District Commission staff by written request and submission of a valid reason for failure to comply within the six-month deadline.

Chairman

Staff

Birmingham, Wanda

From:

Birmingham, Wanda

Sent:

Monday, April 07, 2014 4:28 PM

To:

Porter, Timothy

Subject:

RE: 1715 Euclid Avenue

Oh Tim, this is great. Do not worry about a more extensive report. Actually, this is extensive. Thank you. I hope you got to see inside the house. Wanda

From: Porter, Timothy

Sent: Monday, April 07, 2014 3:11 PM

To: Birmingham, Wanda

Cc: gaylenorman@yahoo.com; bryan@bryanjcarey.com

Subject: RE: 1715 Euclid Avenue

Wanda,

I inspected the trees in question at 1715 Euclid Avenue last Thursday. I had hoped to submit a detailed report today but a few unexpected tasks came up and I won't be able to get to it until tomorrow or Wednesday. However, I will provide a brief summary of my inspection here.

The four trees assessed range from very poor to fair condition.

- One large leaning tree (undetermined species) in the group appears to be completely dead and is a prime candidate for removal due to safety concerns.
- Two hackberries seem to be in fair condition, but both show signs of construction damage on supporting buttress/anchor roots on the root flare. The extent of surface root damage will most likely not heal and will probably continue to decay and reduce structural stability. This species is very susceptible to construction damage, and since much of the back yard was subjected to construction activity I believe these 2 trees to be appropriate candidates for removal.
- A small tree (undetermined species) showed minimal signs of construction damage above ground. This tree is completely shaded out by surrounding trees and will continue to have poor form and health due to a lack of sunlight. This tree also was subjected to considerable construction activity. I believe it to be an appropriate candidate for removal due to poor form/health and the high likeliehood that construction damage has damaged it's root system.

Additionally, the removal of all 4 trees would reduce canopy cover only slightly. The homeowner stated that they plan to retain a large oak at the rear of the yard. This oak currently covers a majority of these 4 trees and will most likely fill the canopy void left over after removal of the proposed trees.

I will submit a report later this week. Thanks and feel free to contact me with any questions.

Tim Porter

Urban Forestry Supervisor City of Charlotte

o. 704.336.3622

m. 704.201.2071

From: Birmingham, Wanda

Sent: Wednesday, April 02, 2014 10:14 AM

Tree Letter April 2014

To: Porter, Timothy

Subject: 1715 Euclid Avenue

Tim, I have another very similar tree removal request. The letter is from the owner of Joy's Tree Service and he is a Biologist. Could you look at four trees in the back yard over on the left side. They are in a tight row. The owners want to remove these 4 (building a pool). Could you give me your opinion as to whether or not you would recommend removal? Thank you. Wanda

