## University City Area Plan – Issue Matrix Public Comments and Staff Responses As of April 22, 2015

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
1	Plan says there are 4-1/2 million light rail transit riders in Charlotte. How is this calculated?	Pg. 154, Table: Annual Ridership Routes Serving the Northeast Corridor	Charlotte Area Transit System (CATS) generates ridership through the Automatic Passenger Counters (APC) on the Light Rail vehicles as well as an accounting of ticket sales. The 4.7 million is consistent with what we reported to the National Transit Database (NTD) in 2011, viewable through the below link. Ridership is called on the NTD report annual unlinked trips.  http://www.ntdprogram.gov/ntdprogram/pubs/profiles/2011/agen cy_profiles/4008.pdf
			The chart on pg. 154 of the Appendix will be revised for clarity.
2	Concern with policy area 7A – on the map, this area is recommended for a mix of office and residential uses and doesn't recognize the existing retail use. It will likely redevelop with retail uses. Having to read through the plan policies to find the full use of permitted uses and community design criteria is cumbersome.	Pg. 17, Map 4: Recommended Future Land Use Map; Pg. 56, Land Use Policy 7a #2	The intent is for this area to transition from the suburban, singleuse building and form of development that currently exists to a more urban form of development near a future transit station.  Staff proposes the following changes to address the public comment and is continuing to work with the property owner and his agent:  Proposed Revision: Policy Area 3 #2 and #5; Policy Area 7a #2 and #7; Policy Area 7b #1 and #3  Map: Update Map 4: Recommended future land use map to include residential, office, and retail stripe.  Land Use Policy In areas outside of the core, existing businesses and residences are anticipated to remain in the near term. Over time, properties should be redeveloped with a mix of residential, office, retail, and civic/institutional uses. To ensure the area remains economically

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
			viable as it awaits redevelopment, a limited expansion of existing buildings may be appropriate. Ground floor retail uses may include drive through facilities only if they meet the Community Design criteria below (#7). Structured parking should be lined with active uses along the street or screened from view from streets and sidewalks. Commercial uses with gasoline pumps are not appropriate in the transit station area.
			Design policy: In areas outside of the core, buildings should be multi-storied and be placed at or near the back of the sidewalk. Surface parking should be located to the rear or side of buildings, and not between the building and the street. Not more than 35% of a site's street frontage should be devoted to surface parking or driveway access. Uses should activate the street with appropriate building orientation, accessible entrances, and space for outdoor seating and display near the sidewalkStructured parking is strongly encouraged to reduce the need for surface parking. Drive-through facilities may be appropriate in areas indicated above (#2) if located on the interior of a parking deck and are designed to minimize conflicts with pedestrians.
			Add Implementation Action Item: Evaluate "limited expansion" based on how non-conforming sites and uses are addressed within the Transit Supportive Overlay (TS-O) District and subject to the design standards in the University City Area Plan.  Project Type: Land Development  Lead Agency: Planning
			Time Frame: As development occurs

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text, Map or Graphic	Staff Response
3	Mallard Pointe shopping center is a 15 acre center – would like it to be shown as recommended for office, retail and residential uses on the map.	Pg. 17, Map 4: Recommended Future Land Use Map; Pg. 56, Land Use Policy 7a #2	Please refer to response above.
4	Closing of Eastway bridge is causing hardship to his business and he's not sure that the business can survive more than a month with the continued closure.	n/a	We have let CATS business liaison Jennifer Duru know about your concerns.
5	Wants to stress the importance of open space from Sugar Creek Road to the community garden at Hidden Valley. Are there plans to redo the intersection of Eastway and North Tryon Street?	n/a – outside of plan area	This area and intersection are outside of the University City Area Plan Update geography. CDOT will respond to the question regarding Eastway Dr. directly.
6	Are there plans for a senior center (similar to Marion Diehl)?	Land Use and Open Space policies for All Policy Areas (Concept Plan Pg. 13-104)	Parks and Recreation has not identified a location for a senior center in this area. Area plans don't typically identify specific locations for new park/recreation, civic or institutional uses. These are appropriate in most areas as indicated in the policies. University City Partners intends to undertake a Park and Open Space analysis with Mecklenburg County Parks and Recreation.
7	Discrepancy between TOD zoning being applicable within the ½ mile walk distance, but the station area plans referring to the ¼ mile walk distance. Needs more language that addresses suburban sprawl.	Pg. 17, Map 4: Recommended Future Land Use Map; Pg. 22 Policy Area 1a, Land Use Policies; Pg. 25 Policy Area 1B Land Use Policies; Pg. 28 Policy Area 1C Land Use Policies; Pg. 41 Policy Area 3 Land Use Policies;	The Area Plan defines Transit Station Areas as within generally 1/2 mile walk distance from each transit station. The land use policies indicate TOD land use for most of this area, however in some areas a mix of uses is shown, rather than TOD. This does not preclude property owners from seeking TOD zoning. It is meant to recognize that some areas farther from the station may redevelop to the intensity of TOD at much later intervals and the policies provide for a more realistic transition by not requiring the use of TOD zoning.  To address suburban sprawl even outside the transit station areas,

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
8	When will the Implementation Plan be made available? Would like to review it.	Pg. 56 Policy Area 7a Land Use Policies; Pg. 59 Policy Area 7B Land Use Policies Pg. 105	land use policies generally encourage a mix of uses, designed to create more walkable environments and to allow intensification over time. The land use policies encourage a transition away from the primarily retail pattern of development we see today.  The Implementation has been available on the project website: <a href="http://UCAP.charlotteplanning.org">http://UCAP.charlotteplanning.org</a> since February 5, 2015.  Implementation Guide is not adopted by City Council and is
9	Need provisions for affordable housing at stations.	n/a	updated periodically, as needed.  City Council has adopted policies that address the provision of affordable housing in transit station areas.
10	Need green architecture/LEED policies in station areas.	n/a	Environmental sustainability is an inherent characteristic of the policies for the transit station areas. These policies provide for compact, walkable development and efficient use of land and infrastructure. Further, the policies in the Natural Environment chapter encourage environmentally sensitive site design and minimizing runoff from development. Additional green architecture/LEED elements such as building architecture, internal layout and materials are not specifically addressed in area plans.
11	Supports the plan and has participated as a stakeholder with UNC Charlotte. Working on a park master plan with Land Design.	Open Space Policies for all Policy Areas (Concept Plan Pg. 13-104) and Implementation Guide (Pg. 105)	The Park Master Plan will be part of the Implementation of this area plan.
12	Language should require the redevelopment of shopping centers with an urban form.	Land Use and Design policies for All Policy Areas (Concept Plan Pg. 13-104)	The area plan recognizes that redevelopment to a more urban form may be more feasible adjacent to transit stations, especially in the short term future. Over time, other areas are expected to redevelop as well and this plan supports a transition to a more urban form in these areas as well. The policies for areas outside of transit stations emphasize designing for pedestrian mobility and transitioning to a more urban form of development by bringing buildings to the sidewalk and reducing parking lots along street frontages.

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text, Map or Graphic	Staff Response
13	Consider adding language about pedestrian connectivity, pedestrian oriented uses and redevelopment without large parking lots.	Design and mobility policies for All Policy Areas (Concept Plan Pg. 13-104)	Within transit station areas (TSA), the policies emphasize pedestrian and bicycle connectivity as part of site and street design. In areas outside of TSA's, the policies are intended to address the pedestrian network and encourage providing clear, comfortable, direct connections between sidewalks along streets and building entrances. In addition, the policies will be implemented by the zoning ordinance, subdivision ordinance, and urban street design guidelines that require certain block lengths and elements like sidewalks and bike lanes where appropriate.
14	The Implementation Plan needs to include development timelines.	Pg. 105	The Implementation has been available on the project website: <a href="http://UCAP.charlotteplanning.org">http://UCAP.charlotteplanning.org</a> since February 5, 2015. It includes time-frames for the various implementation actions. Although we are not able to predict timelines for private development, we do track development in the station areas.  Implementation Guide is not adopted by City Council and is updated periodically, as needed.
15	Can the plan strongly state five year increments that list specific development and redevelopment goals to accomplish each period? Can such stated development and redevelopment goals be measurable? Also can feasible 'carrots and sticks' incentives be on the plan to ensure it is realized through a strong likelihood of implementation?	Pg. 105	An implementation guide for the area plan was made available on February 5, 2015. It includes time-frames for the various implementation actions. Although we are not able to predict timelines for private development, we do track development in the station areas.  In terms of incentives, in addition to the light rail line itself, the City has a number of capital projects planned or underway to facilitate future development. These projects are included in the plan with anticipated timelines.  Implementation Guide is not adopted by City Council and is updated periodically, as needed.
16	3 observations: 1) transit overlays	Transit Station Areas	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
	won't solve problem, we must go TODs; 2) the time is now for corrective rezonings; Council shouldn't just preach pedestrian friendly, but act on it; Council should avoid free market temptations; 3) corrective rezonings can occur in the implementation of the plan.		We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.  As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City Council.  Add Action Item: Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.  Project Type: Land Development  Lead Agency: Planning
			Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
18	We are blessed to be a part of the Camino Community Center with 4300 square feet. We serve people out of every zip code of the city and many are volunteers, one who is a patient of our clinic. She gets up early to take the bus to the center. We are excited about the plans. We believe this transportation will have us more connected. We want to give hope, not just help people. This is a positive benefit for University city. Pat Martinez has been talking about sidewalks. Thanks for your vision and leadership.	n/a Transit station areas	No response necessary.  No response necessary.

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
	for this town. Those creative		
	talents will shape our city. We		
	support this plan and further		
	improvements. TOD encourages		
	urban style development, this is		
	critical with linking businesses to		
	the university. An urban approach		
	is needed for development. There		
	is a diversity of visitors, students,		
	and staff at UNCC with unique		
	demands for services, needs, and		
	retail. Support the updates for the		
	plan and the policy changes.		
19	There are countless environmental	n/a	No response necessary.
	and planning benefits with this		
	plan. UCAP is one component of		
	the transit and land use plan.		
	Completion of each component is		
	vital to achieving these goals.		
	Charlotte will mitigate issues		
	surrounding growth. Upward		
	economic ability would be		
	improved; Charlotte is currently		
	last in this area. This will be an		
	effective approach for jobs,		
	housing, access to healthcare and		
	educational facilities. This makes		
	economic opportunity accessible		
	to all. Outside uptown sits several		
	impoverished communities.		
	Support UCAP and the completion		
	of the blue and gold lines. This city		
	will be judged by how it treats its		
	poorest citizens and how they live.		
20	We ask for adoption of the plan as	Transit Station Areas	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.
	it includes TOD that provides more		We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in
	opportunity to live and work along transit. This won't be easy. We		the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to
	need to fight for this area and		include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications
	TODs. Reject that gas stations and		of potential rezoning.
	storage are appropriate for our		As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City
	area. Be willing to accept that this		Council.
	is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> largest employment area		
	in the city. Don't be willing to		Add Action Item:
	postpone this plan for out of town		Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of
	interests and legal threats. Adopt		candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.
	and embrace this plan not because		
	it is easy, but because it is hard.		Project Type:
			Land Development
			Lead Agency:
			Planning
			T: 5
21		- /-	Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
21	I am here to support this plan. I have lived here a little over 2	n/a	No response necessary.
	years. I am ashamed to admit that		
	I only went to the university area		
	for the first time this past		
	weekend. We need easier ability		
	to get here. This plan seems to		
	match with the plans and vision of		
	CRVA's. I suggest that with the		
	\$21.4 million shortfall, we need to		
	look at ways to attract more		
	visitors. Business considers a lot		
	more than just the non-		
	discrimination ordinance.		
22	Speaking on behalf of Darlene	n/a	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.
	Heater with University City		We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within
	Partners. We recommend		the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in
	adoption of the plan. It will serve		the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
	as the urban core within University		include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications
	city and adjacent areas. It is		of potential rezoning.
	important that the city execute		As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City
	strategies to allow the corridor to		Council.
	be a major corridor in the city. The		
	development process for this plan		Add Action Item:
	has been extensive to bring the		Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of
	best plan forward. Development is		candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.
	increasing along the corridor. UCP		
	suggests that you adopt the plan		Project Type:
	to guide development uses to		Land Development
	support the vision. We are		
	interested in supporting a transit		Lead Agency:
	center overlay. We request this		Planning
	work be done justly, transparently,		
	and swiftly. Thank you for all you		Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
	do to make Charlotte a memorable		
	city.		
23	There is an amazing	Transit Station Areas	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.
	transformation taking place in the		We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within
	University area. There is not a safe		the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in
	pedestrian option to my classes.		the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to
	This is the best opportunity for		include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications
	reinvention and improvement in		of potential rezoning.
	University city area. I support the		As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City
	plan's vision for the development		Council.
	of light rail. Ensure properties are		
	zoned for TOD. This will leverage		Add Action Item:
	the city's investment. I would like		Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of
	to see a design for people with		candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.
	bike and walking connections.		
	Connect the university. I want an		Project Type:
	alternative to driving to class.		Land Development
			Lead Agency:
			Planning

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text, Map or Graphic	Staff Response
		map of Grapme	Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
24	I was shocked to move to the University area. Living in a place physically disconnected was hard. I am studying urban design to build people-oriented places. I am now living in South End in Charlotte and working for Sustain Charlotte. They support TOD. We have a petition with 200 signatures supporting this plan. Align zoning with this plan. Rezone using corrective rezoning. TOD near transit stations ensures environmental sustainability. Support the plan and implementation of TOD [provided copy of petition].	Transit Station Areas	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.  We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.  As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City Council.  Add Action Item: Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.  Project Type: Land Development  Lead Agency: Planning  Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
25	I wanted to tell the story of a friend who moved from New York City. She just moved here in January. She doesn't know how to drive in this [University] area. When she moved, she wanted to move close to where she worked but she couldn't walk to work. She did not have access to sidewalks or shopping. She moved to 3 <sup>rd</sup> Ward but catches an express bus. I want us to recruit more bright young people from big cities. I am proud to call this area home.	n/a	No response necessary.

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text, Map or Graphic	Staff Response
26	I have read the plan and when I	Pg. 56-58	We intend to provide proposed revisions to Transportation and
	had questions, staff got back with		Planning Committee at an upcoming meeting. These changes will
	me. The Mallard Pointe shopping		likely address the concerns raised in relation to retail as an
	center is adjacent to UNCC. Part of		appropriate use and height guidance.
	our concern is the plan needs		
	more clarity and flushing out to		Also see response for comment #2 above.
	understand what the terms mean.		
	We have seen new language		
	inserted. What is the expectation		
	for retail? A market study calls for		
	a lot of retail space at the stations.		
	The plan has a curious limitation		
	on heights. We are concerned with		
	utility of the Mallard Pointe site. In		
	the implementation section, it is		
	not clear. I am looking forward to		
	TOD.		
27	I am grateful to share our concerns	Pg. 56-58	We intend to provide proposed revisions to Transportation and
	with the area plan, although I am		Planning Committee at an upcoming meeting. These changes will
	supportive of it and excited about		likely address the concerns raised in relation to retail as an
	the future. One concern: taking of		appropriate use.
	the right of way. We have a 22-		
	foot wall against the right of way		Also see response for comment #2 above.
	now. We have to develop around		
	it. There have been lots of chaos		The identified street connections provide for vehicular and
	and construction pains but we and		pedestrian movements (i.e. not just a drive aisle). It would be
	our tenants are excited. I have		determined through the redevelopment process whether these
	attended the planning meetings.		would be public or private streets based on ordinances and land
	We were surprised that a pause		development regulations.
	took place. The rollout was		
	different. We are pleased with the		
	recent changes that have been		
	agreed to by staff. The definition		
	of "primary" is of concern. The		
	main concern is that our property		
	will be bisected by roads: who will		

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text, Map or Graphic	Staff Response
	huild and maintain them?	Iviap of Graphic	
28	build and maintain them?  How do we transition from an old yet vibrant shopping center? This needs to be carefully protected. If you don't do that, older uses will deteriorate. No one wants to see that in these areas. I have spent years with University City Partners. We have the most transformative event under way in the area right now. It is important that the transit station area core is protected. If not protected but we have new developments (have a useful life of 20+ years), the plan will be emasculated. This plan has come a long way with a high degree of specificity. Thanks for your time and the City's patience on all this.	Pg. 56-58 and general Transit Station Areas	The Plan does not currently recommend corrective rezonings.  We have been discussing zoning implementation strategies within the station areas with TAP and stakeholders and will recommend in the Implementation Plan that further evaluation be conducted to include the identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning and requirements of current ordinances and regulations.  As a reminder, the implementation guide is not adopted by City Council.  Add Action Item: Further evaluation should be conducted to include identification of candidate parcels and the implications of potential rezoning.  Project Type: Land Development  Lead Agency: Planning  Time Frame: Immediate to Short (0-5 years)
30	Speaking on behalf of the owners at the University Shoppes. The property was purchased with the intent to develop. Over the past years, we have worked with the City on a retail plan. We have concerns about the redevelopment vision. Bring it to fruition with practicality so we can meet our obligations as owners. Thanks for your time and hard work  Speaking as a South End resident	Pg. 56-58  Transit Station Areas	No response necessary.  The Planning Department has initiated the Zoning Ordinance

#	Public Comment	Location of Current Text,	Staff Response
		Map or Graphic	
	and neighborhood leader. I am the		Update and is currently reviewing the Transit Oriented
	ghost of TODs past! I have two		Development zoning district.
	homework assignments: Provide		
	an idea of what you want to create		
	is a walkable neighborhood. We		
	are not there yet. Second		
	assignment is to dig up plans for		
	the south end and read up on		
	them and visit the neighborhood		
	physically. Not much teeth to that		
	previous plan. There is a still lot of		
	work that needs to be done on		
	that. I support this, but going		
	forward, look at TOD. Make sure		
	you get a chance to get things right		
	the first time, it is difficult to get it		
	right afterward.		