OFFICIAL COMMUNITY MEETING REPORT **Petitioner: James Poutier** Rezoning Petition No. 2018-128

This Community Meeting Report is being filed with the Office of the City Clerk and the Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission pursuant to the provisions of the City of Charlotte Zoning Ordinance.

PERSONS AND ORGANIZATIONS CONTACTED WITH DATE AND EXPLANATION OF HOW CONTACTED:

A representative of the Petitioner mailed a written notice of the date, time and location of the Community Meeting to the individuals and organizations set out on <u>Exhibit A</u> attached hereto by depositing such notice in the U.S. mail on November 13, 2018. A copy of the written notice is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u>.

DATE, TIME AND LOCATION OF MEETING:

The Community Meeting was held on Monday, November 26th at 6:00 p.m. at the Cooks Memorial Presbyterian Church, 3413 Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road, Charlotte, NC 28216.

PERSONS IN ATTENDANCE AT MEETING (see attached copy of sign-in sheet):

The Community Meeting was attended by those individuals identified on the sign-in sheet attached hereto as <u>Exhibit C</u>. The Petitioner (Jason Poutier) attended the meeting and was represented by Petitioner's agents Yates Dunaway with Dogwood, LLC, Steven Hinshaw with Hinshaw Properties, Nate Doolittle with LandDesign, and Collin Brown with K&L Gates.

SUMMARY OF PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION:

Mr. Collin Brown welcomed the attendees and introduced the Petitioner's team, using a PowerPoint presentation, attached hereto as <u>Exhibit D.</u>

Mr. Brown explained that this petition involves approximately 5.5 acres of land located on the south side of Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road, east of Brookshire Boulevard, near the Riverbend development and adjacent to the Holly Hunter Church. Mr. Brown explained the various development considerations that the Petitioner must account for in the rezoning process, including property owner requirements, existing zoning, policy considerations, environmental constraints, transportation requirements, adjacent property owner concerns, broader community concerns, City Staff and City Council priorities, and market realities.

Mr. Brown then gave a brief overview of the rezoning process and redevelopment considerations, generally. The property is currently zoned R-3, which typically allows three residential units per acre. The Brookshire Boulevard / I-485 Interchange Area Plan (adopted by City Council in 2002), recommends multi-family, office or retail uses for this site.

Mr. Brown explained that the Petitioner is seeking the UR-2(CD) (urban residential - conditional) zoning district to accommodate the development of a for-sale townhome development with up to 10 townhome

units per acre. The Petitioner believes that a townhome development will serve as a nice transition from the Riverbend retail village to lower density residential homes on the other side of the rezoning property. The Petitioner also views Riverbend as an important walkable amenity that many townhome owners may seek at this site.

Mr. Brown described the site-specific conditional plan associated with the rezoning petition. This conditional plan runs with the land and any developer would be bound to it unless they go back through the rezoning process again. The Petitioner is proposing a point of access from Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road with a north-south street connection. The townhomes fronting Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road will be alley-loaded, meaning that garages and driveways will not be visible from the street. Additionally, units are oriented so that they do not directly front or back up to the existing residential homes on Chastain Parc Drive. The Petitioner is also currently committing to a 20' undisturbed buffer along the eastern property boundary adjacent to the Chastain Parc existing homes, but is willing to discuss additional options with those directly affected neighbors. The Petitioner also proposes to limit the access on Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road to right-in/right-out only by constructing a median and constructing a 12' multi-modal path along the Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road frontage to encourage pedestrian activity.

In anticipation of potential community concerns, Mr. Brown explained that CMS calculates townhome units to typically produce less students than single-family homes, so he anticipates that in CMS's memo they will state that the school impact for this development is not significantly more than a by-right single-family home development. Similarly, CDOT calculates townhomes as producing less traffic than single-family homes so the Petitioner's team anticipates that traffic will not be significantly increased on this site from what could be developed by-right.

Mr. Nate Doolittle clarified that the development standards will have building material commitments that ensure a quality produce. While a zoning petition cannot include specific price point commitments, these heightened development standards result in higher price points.

In response to an attendee's question regarding the buffer adjacent to Chastain Parc, Mr. Doolittle explained that there is a 30' post construction control buffer. Mr. Doolittle compared this buffer to the one proposed at Riverbend, which is an approximately 100-acre site and therefore requires a significantly larger buffer. Several attendees were concerned with the buffer and removal of trees on the property. A few attendees were under the impression that the 90' buffer on the Riverbend site extended through this property, which is incorrect. The Petitioner's agents stated they would be willing to meet some neighbors on the site and figure out which trees may be saved. Mr. Brown noted that if the property was developed by-right (without a rezoning) with single-family homes, no buffer would be required at all.

An attendee expressed concern over traffic increase due to the townhome development. In response, the Petitioner's agents stated that the Petitioner is installing a north-south connection road along the site to provide more connectivity and hopefully reduce the congestion at existing intersections.

One attendee stated that they would like to see restaurants at this site instead of townhomes. The Petitioner's agents responded that commercial uses such as restaurants would produce significantly more traffic that townhomes.

The formal meeting concluded at approximately 7:00 p.m. and the Petitioner's representatives continued to answer individual questions until approximately 7:30 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, this 17th day of December, 2018.

cc: Claire Lyte-Graham, Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department

Exhibit A

2018-128 TAXPID OWNERLASTN	OWNERFIRST	COWNERFIRS	COWNERLAST	MAILADDR1	MAILADDR2	CITY	STATE	ZIPCODE
2018-128 02311314 LINEBERGER	TERRY L	ELIZABETH S	LINEBERGER	11318 PUMP STATION RD		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326204 MCQUAY	RICHARD LEIGHTON	MARION C	MCQUAY	4403 MOUNT HOLLY HUNTERSVILLE	RD	CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326205 SPEARES	GREGORY L	CHERYL	SPEARES	4415 MT HOLLY-HUNTERSVILL RD		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326206 HILTON INVESTMENT LLC				330 NORTH MULBERRY ST		STATESVILLE	NC	282677
2018-128 02326207 TODD	DORIS B			4431 MOUNT HOLLY HUNTERSVILLE	RD	CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326330 SAVILLE	ROBERT J	NADINE N	SAVILLE	4627 ANDREW JAMES DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326331 GRESHAM	KRIS W	DEBRA C	GRESHAM	4635 ANDREW JAMES DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326332 HUDNALL	SHEILA A			4640 ANDREW JAMES DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 02326333 OVERLOOK OWNERS ASSOC INC				PO BOX 1003		CHARLOTTE	NC	28201
2018-128 03304108 PAIGE	DAVID HOWARD	CATHERINE E	PAIGE	4422 MT HOLLY-HUNTERSVLR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304112 POUTIER	JAMES F	VIRGINIA P	POUTIER	2625 LILLY MILL RD		CHARLOTTE	NC	28210
2018-128 03304113 MOUNTAIN ISLAND COMMUNTIY CHURCH				4316 MT HOLLY-HUNTERSVILLE RD		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304127 BC BUILDING #1 LLC				500 EAST MOREHEAD ST STE 200		CHARLOTTE	NC	28202
2018-128 03304187 MT ISLAND PARTNERS LLC				800 17TH STREET NW		HICKORY	NC	28601
2018-128 03304197 MT ISLAND PROMENADE LLC				1401-B SUNSET DR		GREENSBORO	NC	27408
2018-128 03304401 PALMER	MARCUS			11222 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304402 OJEDA	ROSA LINDA	HISRRAEL OJEDA	HERNANDEZ	11213 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304403 ADAMS	RONALD	TAMARA	ADAMS	11210 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304404 HORNE	MAGGIE R			11204 CHASTAIN PARE DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304405 BROWN	LESTER L III	CHRISHALA CHANTEL ANNETTE	BROWN	11201 GOLDEN DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304406 MORALES-TERAN	OSCAR ALFREDO	MARIA	MORALES	11209 GOLDEN DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304407 RALSTON	ANTHONY M	MARIA	RALSTON	11213 GOLDEN DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304408 CAMPANELLI	PAUL	KATHRYN	CAMPANELLI	11217 GOLDEN DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304422 NELSON	ROBERT L	MILLIE G	NELSON	11132 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304423 SIMS	TAMARA N			11124 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304424 SHAW	MARK			11118 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304425 BALDWIN	VICKI L		MARCO A VERASTEGUI	11112 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304426 BURTON	JOSEPH R			11105 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304427 THOMPSON	LAWRENCE P III (L/T)	KIMBERLY B(L/T)	THOMPSON	9604 CALLIS CT		HARRISBURG	NC	28075
2018-128 03304428 SWEENEY	JONATHAN C	JEANNE F	WINN	11028 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304501 GRAHAM	DENNIS L JR	KWAN	GRAHAM	11001 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304502 SNIDER	SCOTT H	ANGELA L	SNIDER	11007 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304503 SEAMANS	DOUGLAS V JR	LINDSAY	SEAMANS	11015 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304504 BARTLETT	SHERRY L			11021 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304505 KOONCE	ELISA DIANNE			11029 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304506 WOLFSOHN	EDWARD	RITA	WOLFSOHN	11101 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304507 COCHRAN	YOLONDRA			11107 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304508 CUBERO	DAVID CASTRO	JESHIRA	GONZALEZ-SOTO	11113 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304509 BECKHAM	DEREK B	CYNTHIA LYNN	BECKHAM	11119 CHASTAIN PARC DRIVE		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304510 AMH NC PROPERTIES LP				11629 SIDNEY CREST AVE		CHARLOTTE	NC	28213
2018-128 03304511 CURBEAM	STEVEN C	SAKIRA V	CURBEAN	11133 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304512 BLAKENEY	ANESTHA W			11203 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304513 CORREA	GEORGE JR	ANA	WILLMORE-CORREA	11211 CHASTAIN PARC DR		CHARLOTTE	NC	28216
2018-128 03304598 MT ISLAND PROMENADE LLC				1401 SUNSET DR SUITE B		GREENSBORO	NC	27408

2018-128	ORGANIZATI	FIRST_NAME	LAST_NAME	STREET_ADD	UNITNUM	CITY	STATE	ZIP
2018-128	Chastain HOA	Mark	Shaw	11118 Chastain Parc Drive		Charlotte	NC	28216
2018-128	Chatham Homeowners Association	Neale	Turlington	9527 Chastain Walk Dr		Charlotte	NC	28216
2018-128	Devonwood Towns Homeowners Association	Victoria	Carnall	4742 Stoney Branch Dr		Charlotte	NC	28216
2018-128	Morgan Glenn	Larry	Bumgarner	5201 Mountain Point Ln		Charlotte	NC	28216
2018-128	Mountain Island (Overlook) Homeowners Association	Steve	Swicegood	12511 Overlook Mountain Dr		Charlotte	NC	28216

Exhibit B



November 13, 2018

Collin W. Brown collin.brown@klgates.com

T +1 704 331 7531 F +1 704 331 7598

NOTICE TO INTERESTED PARTIES OF COMMUNITY MEETING

Date:Monday, November 26th at 6:00 p.m.Location:Cooks Memorial Presbyterian Church
3413 Mt Holly-Huntersville Road
Charlotte, NC 28216Petitioner:James PoutierPetition No.:2018-128

Dear Charlotte Resident,

We represent James Poutier (the "Petitioner") in his request to rezone an approximately 5.5-acre property located on the southeast side of Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road, between Riverbend Village Drive and Chastain Parc Drive (the "Property"). The Petitioner requests a rezoning from the R-3 zoning district to the UR-2(CD) zoning district in order to accommodate a for-sale townhome community.

In accordance with the requirements of the City of Charlotte Zoning Ordinance, the Petitioner will hold a Community Meeting prior to the Public Hearing on this Rezoning Petition for the purpose of discussing this rezoning proposal with nearby property owners and organizations. The Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Commission's records indicate that you are either a representative of a registered neighborhood organization or an owner of property that adjoins, is located across the street from, or is near the Property.

Accordingly, on behalf of the Petitioner, we invite you to attend the Official Community Meeting regarding this Petition on **Monday**, **November 26th at 6:00 p.m.** to meet with the Petitioner and its design and development team. We will give a brief overview of the redevelopment concept and then provide an opportunity for you to meet and talk with individuals that are involved in planning the project.

Please contact me if you are unable to attend and would like additional information.

Very truly Collib

cc: Dr. Justin Harlow, Charlotte City Council District 2

Exhibit C

Official Community Meeting Petitioner: James Pouitier Petition No. 2018-128 Cooks Memorial Presbyterian Church 3413 Mt. Holly-Huntersville Rd. Charlotte, NC 28216 November 26, 2018 6:00 PM

Please fill out completely. This information is used by the Planning Department to distribute material regarding this petition.

Name	Address	Phone No.	Email].
Mark Shaw	1111 Chusterin Barcola		chastainpresident	ť
MargotLangdon	7207 WattsdaleAve	2	Mang2718100+	
Kwan Graham	11001 Chasterin Press		Kng ration Equal.	com
	5202 POLOGATE BL		PBNEMCHOTMAN	4.00M
	4426 Andrew James		Iberry 2252 egr	nail.com
	3520 Mounta m Care D		DIM 245@ icb	
CAUTEN CROW		3367075717	Lanta Simpson in	ere.con
Well's Hundon	910000/HH RR	336 - 707-2181	Wells @ Simpson in	e.com
Darethe Hodges			Darethah 1@ hotm	•
Cius Rectscitmen		704 394 6070 Kit	erschmielg@16th	AiloCOM
1205 m Marie	12006 mtn Lake	704-906-694.	5 B,5 menone	Email
				U.Con

Official Community Meeting Petitioner: James Pouitier Petition No. 2018-128 Cooks Memorial Presbyterian Church 3413 Mt. Holly-Huntersville Rd. Charlotte, NC 28216 November 26, 2018 6:00 PM

Please fill out completely. This information is used by the Planning Department to distribute material regarding this petition.

Name	Address	Phone No.	Email		
derek beckham	11119 Chectain Pare	D 988 475 0595	der backbernhofsey-st		
			/		
······					
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Exhibit D

. j



Official Community Meeting

James Pouitier / Mt. Holly-Huntersville Road Petition No. 2018-128

November 26, 2018

AGENDA

- Introductions
- Property Location
- Development Considerations
- Current Zoning
- Area Plan Recommendation
- Development Concept
- Options without a Rezoning
- Proposed Zoning
- Conditional Zoning
- Potential Concerns
- Timeline
- Discussion



Property Location



MOUNTALN ISL<mark>X</mark>NI Sign in

2004

Senator W. Craig Lawing Fw

Fat

4422 Mount Holly-Huntersville Road

200

Walmart Supercenter

2175

Chick-fil-A

villegd

Harris Teeter

State Employees' Credit Union

Google









Development Considerations

- Property Owner Requirements
- Existing Zoning
- Natural/Environmental Constraints
- Access/Transportation Constraints
- Adjacent Owner Concerns
- Ordinance/Policy Requirement (non-zoning)
- Adopted Area plans
- City Priorities
- Adjacent Uses
- Community Concerns
- Market Realities





Current Zoning



Current Zoning: R-3





Land Use Plan



Brookshire Boulevard/I-485 Area Plan

Volume I: Concept Plan

Adopted by City Council January 28, 2002











Riverbend is an Amenity





klgates.com



Proposed Zoning



Proposed Zoning: UR-2(CD)

Section 9.402. Urban Residential Districts; established.

In order to provide densities and other development standards which are compatible with urban characteristics, the following zoning classifications are established:

- Urban Residential-1 district (UR-1): The intent of this district is to protect and enhance designated single family areas and to encourage appropriate infill development within these areas.
- (2) <u>Urban Residential-2 district (UR-2)</u>: The intent of this district is to promote maximum opportunities for moderate density residential development. This district functions as both a transition between lower and higher density and as the predominant residential district throughout much of the fringe of the uptown area.
- (3) <u>Urban Residential-3 district (UR-3)</u>: The intent of this district is to provide for high density residential development. This district is located nearer the employment core and in areas identified for their special adaptability and appropriateness for this type of housing.
- (4) <u>Urban Residential-Commercial district (UR-C)</u>: The intent of the UR-C district is to promote a diversity of residential, retail, office, recreational and cultural uses in a mixed use, higher density pattern. This district is restricted in location to the periphery of an employment core or to areas designated as community or neighborhood centers where a high level of commercial or other services are desired.



Development Concept



Townhomes: Attached Dwellings, underlying land owned by homeowner, common areas maintained by an HOA.





SITE DEVELOPMENT DATA

ACREAGE: ± 5.5 ACRES

TAX PARCELS: 033-041-12, 033-041-08

EXISTING ZONING: R-3

PROPOSED ZONING: UR -2 (CD)

EXISTING USES: SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

PROPOSED USES: UP TO 55 FOR-SALE SINGLE FAMILY ATTACHED (TOWNHOME) UNITS

MAX. BUILDING HEIGHT: SIXTY (60) FEET

DENSITY: MAXIMUM OF 10 DUA


Options without a Rezoning





ENGINEERING & PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

City of Charlotte Tree Ordinance

Single Family Tree Save Briefing

May 25, 2016





Conditional Zoning





Conventional vs. Conditional Rezoning

How to identify Conditional Rezoning:

Conventional	Conditional
O-1	0-1 <mark>(CD)</mark> *
TOD-M	TOD-MO**
	B-2(CD) SPA*
	NS, CC, RE-3, MX*

* Commits to standards that go beyond the base zoning ordinance requirements and are specific for each petition.

** Reduces or eliminates the base zoning ordinance standards, AND typically commits to other standards that go beyond the base zoning ordinance requirements. Also specific for each petition.



Conditional Rezoning Plan













School Impact





Planning Services 4335 Stuart Andrew Blvd. Charlotte, NC 28217

Petition No: 2016-120

IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Proposed Housing Units: up to 597 multi-family units for sale to R-17MF (CD) 5-year Vested Rights & NS 5-year Vested Rights

CMS Planning Area: 14, 15, 16

Average Student Yield per Unit: 0.1845

This development may add 110 students to the schools in this area.

The following data is as of 20th Day of the 2

Schools Affected	Total Classroom Teachers	Buildi Classroo Teach Statio
BEREWICK ELEMENTARY	42	39
KENNEDY MIDDLE	51	45
OLYMPIC HIGH	147	90

INCREMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ZONING

Number of housing units allowed under cu The conventional R-3 zoning allows all 1 detached dwellings, and duplex units are al

Number of students potentially generated u 62 high)

The development allowed under the existin allowed under the proposed zoning may number of students generated from existing

RECOMMENDATION

Adequacy of existing school capacity in this area is a significant problem. We are particularly concerned about rezoning cases where school utilization exceeds 100% since the proposed development may exacerbate those situations. Approval of this petition may increase overcrowding and/or reliance upon mobile classrooms at the schools listed above.

INCREMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ZONING CHANGE

Number of housing units allowed under current zoning: approximately 381.68 single-family dwellings. The conventional R-3 zoning allows all uses permitted in the district, which includes single-family detached dwellings, and duplex units are allowed by right on a corner lot.

Number of students potentially generated under current zoning: 226 students (115 elementary, 49 middle, 62 high)

The development allowed under the existing zoning may generate 226 student(s), while the development allowed under the proposed zoning may produce 110 student(s). Therefore, the net change in the number of students generated from existing zoning to proposed zoning is zero (0) student(s).

RECOMMENDATION

Adequacy of existing school capacity in this area is a significant problem. We are particularly concerned about rezoning cases where school utilization exceeds 100% since the proposed development may exacerbate those situations. Approval of this petition may increase overcrowding and/or reliance upon mobile classrooms at the schools listed above.



Traffic



Charlotte Department of Transportation (CDOT)

Land Development Rezoning and Traffic Impact Study Review Process

Contents		
CDOT's Role	1	
CDOT's Rezoning Review Process	1	
Traffic Impact Studies	2	
When is a Traffic Impact Study Required?	2	
Notification of Need for Traffic Impact Studies (TIS)	2	
TIS Requirements/Meetings	3	
Traffic Impact Study Area	3	
Internal Trip Capture	3	
Pass By Trips		
TIS Review Schedule	4	
TIS Submittal Deadlines	4	
Mitigation of Traffic Impacts		
NCDOT Review Coordination	5	
Rezoning Process	5	
Driveway Permit Process	6	
Attachment 1: CDOT's Site Plan Review Comments	7	

Traffic Impact Studies (TIS)

The fear of negative traffic impacts is one of the most frequent reasons Charlotte residents give for opposing rezoning petitions. CMPC and the City Council want to know about traffic issues as well. That is why CDOT works with developers to prepare traffic impact studies as part of the rezoning petition process. Traffic studies are used to:

- · Identify the development's transportation impacts
- · Determine off-site mitigation needed to overcome the transportation impacts
- · Identify whether phasing the development would be appropriate
- Determine access design that should be incorporated into the site plan

When is a TIS Required?

The City requires any site development that generates 2,500 or more vehicular trips per weekday to prepare a TIS. A study also will be required when a development:

- Affects a location with a high vehicle crash history
- Takes place at a high congestion location (v/c > 1)
- Creates the fourth leg of an existing signalized intersection
- Exacerbates an already difficult situation such as at a railroad crossing, fire station access, school access, etc.

We ask developers to update their TIS when:

- The proposed development's land use mix changes
- Access to the site changes
- · Other significant changes have occurred in the surrounding area
- · A previous study is more than two years old

Notification of Need for TIS

CDOT will notify petitioners of the need for a TIS within three days of submitting a Rezoning Application.

Developments that would generate 2,500 daily trips:

- 260 houses
- 370 apartments
- 225,000 sq ft offices
- 21,500 sq ft retail

Generally, townhome units generate fewer students and vehicular trips than single-family homes.

Therefore, increasing density does not necessarily lead to increases in traffic and students versus a byright development.



Timeline



Potential Timeline

Official Community Meeting:

Public Hearing:

Zoning Committee:

City Council Decision:

November 26, 2018

January 22, 2019

February 5, 2019

February 18, 2019



Discussion





K&L GATES





