

Rezoning Transportation Analysis

Petition Number: 2018-024

General Location Identifier: 17518221, 17518222, 17518223

From: CDOT Land Development Section

Reviewer:

Isaiah Washington

isaiah.washington@charlottenc.gov

704-432-6511

Revision Log:

Date	Description
03-21-18	First Review
04-23-18	Second Review

General Review Information

The site is at the unsignalized intersection of Reece Rd (local) and Arbor Ln (local) and is located in a wedge inside Route 4.

CDOT's review of this rezoning petition is intended to ensure consistency with the Transportation Action Plan (TAP) which seeks to ensure that the City's transportation network supports current and future land uses and includes streets that provide safe and comfortable mobility for motorists, pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users.

This document is primarily for communication to Planning Department staff as part of the overall City staff analysis of the rezoning petition and includes an overall summary of the case from a transportation perspective, information on trip generation, and resolved or outstanding site plan concerns. Additional advisory information about the driveway permit process is provided for information only.

Based on our review of the petition, we offer the following information for your consideration.

Transportation Summary

This site is bounded by two local roads. The site commits to installing ramps on Reece Road, 8' planting strip and 6' sidewalk. CDOT has a concern about the northern proposed tree on Arbor Lane as it relates to the proposed sidewalk connecting to existing sidewalk.

Trip Generation

Scenario	Land Use	Intensity	Trip Generation (vehicle trips/day)	Source
Existing Use	Single Family	4 dwellings	50	Tax Record
Entitlement with Current Zoning	Apartments (0.87 acres of R-22MF)	19 dwellings	240	General Guidance from Planning
Proposed Zoning	Townhomes	22 dwellings	170	Site Plan: 02-23-18
	Townhomes	19 dwellings	150	Site Plan: 04-16-18

Outstanding Issues

Strikeout = Not an outstanding issue


- ~~**Curbline** The proposed zoning district has a setback measured from back of the existing or proposed future curbline. The location of the future back of curb is the existing back of curb.~~
- ~~**Traffic Study** A Traffic Impact Study/Transportation Technical Memorandum is not necessary for the complete review of this petition.~~

Rezoning Transportation Analysis

Petition Number: 2018-024

General Location Identifier: 17518221, 17518222, 17518223

- ~~3. The petitioner should revise the site plan and conditional note(s) to show ADA ramps at the intersection of Reece Road and Arbor Lane, Receiving ramp across Reece Road at intersection of Reece and Arbor, ramp east of driveway on Reece, and receiving ramp across from requested ramp on Reece.~~



- ~~4. The petitioner should revise the site plan to add a note specifying dedication and fee simple conveyance of all rights of way to the City before the site's first building certificate of occupancy is issued. CDOT requests right of way set at 2' behind back of sidewalk where feasible.~~
- ~~5. The petitioner should revise the site plan to add a note specifying all transportation improvements will be approved and constructed before the site's first building certificate of occupancy is issued. The petitioner may phase transportation improvements if said improvements and phasing are explicitly described in site plan notes.~~
6. New Comment: CDOT has concerns about the proposed most northern tree on Arbor Lane conflicting with how sidewalk will need to tie back to existing sidewalk.

Advisory Information

The following are requirements of the developer that must be satisfied prior to driveway permit approval. We recommend that the petitioner reflect these on the rezoning plan as-appropriate.

1. The setback for this district is measured from the back of the existing or future curbline as determined by CDOT and Planning during the permitting process.

Rezoning Transportation Analysis

Petition Number: 2018-024

General Location Identifier: 17518221, 17518222, 17518223

2. According to the City of Charlotte's Driveway Regulations, CDOT has the authority to regulate/approve all private street/driveway and public street connections to the right-of-way of a street under the regulatory jurisdiction of the City of Charlotte.
3. Adequate sight triangles must be reserved at the existing/proposed street entrance(s). Two 35' x 35' sight triangles (and two 10' x 70' sight triangles on North Carolina Department of Transportation on NCDOT maintained streets) are required for the entrance(s) to meet requirements. All proposed trees, berms, walls, fences, and/or identification signs must not interfere with sight distance at the entrance(s). Such items should be identified on the site plan.
4. The proposed driveway connection(s) to public streets will require a driveway permit(s) to be submitted to CDOT (and the North Carolina Department of Transportation on NCDOT maintained streets) for review and approval. The exact driveway location(s) and type/width of the driveway(s) will be determined by CDOT during the driveway permit process. The locations of the driveway(s) shown on the site plan are subject to change in order to align with driveway(s) on the opposite side of the street and comply with City Driveway Regulations and the City Tree Ordinance.
5. All proposed commercial driveway connections to a future public street will require a driveway permit to be submitted to CDOT for review and approval.
6. Any fence or wall constructed along or adjacent to any sidewalk or street right-of-way requires a certificate issued by CDOT.
7. A Right-of-Way Encroachment Agreement is required for the installation of any non-standard item(s) (irrigation systems, decorative concrete pavement, brick pavers, etc.) within a proposed/existing City maintained street right-of-way by a private individual, group, business, or homeowner's/business association. An encroachment agreement must be approved by CDOT prior to the construction/installation of the non-standard item(s). Contact CDOT for additional information concerning cost, submittal, and liability insurance coverage requirements.