




Charlotte Department of Transportation

Memorandum

Date: October 31, 2008

To: Tom Drake & Tammie Keplinger
Charlotte-Mecklenburg Planning Department

From: 
Scott L. Putnam
Development Services Division

Subject: Rezoning Petition 08-158: Located on the northwest corner of South Mint Street and West Park Avenue

Consistency with Transportation Action Plan (TAP): The two goals of the TAP that most directly affected the staff's review of this petition define the integration of land use and transportation, and the provision of transportation choices.

- Goal 1 of the TAP relies on the Centers, Corridors and Wedges land use strategy to be implemented. This project site is located in a Corridor and appears to support the Centers, Corridors and Wedges land use strategy.
- Goal 2 of the TAP describes various connectivity and design features that are important for motorists, pedestrians and bicyclists. Specific comments are identified below that need to be addressed for CDOT's support of the petition and to bring the site plan into compliance with the TAP and best practices for multimodal transportation.

Vehicle Trip Generation

This site could generate approximately 20 trips per day as currently zoned. Under the proposed zoning the site could generate approximately 100 trips per day. This will have a minor impact on the surrounding thoroughfare system.

We have the following specific comments that are critical to CDOT's support of the rezoning petition:

1. Parking calculations need to be included on the site plan to ensure sufficient parking is available. These parking spaces need to be shown on the site plan and cannot be located in the setback.
2. In the Transit Oriented Development (TOD) the setback is measured from the back of either the existing or proposed curb line. Mint Street is classified as a street needing a "New Cross-Section". The proposed curb line will be located approximately 4 feet behind the existing curb line to provide a back-of-curb to back-of-curb width of 49 feet (currently 41 feet). The building setback is measured 16 feet from the back of this future curb. The location of the future curb will be determined during the review process.

We have the following general comments that are provided to aid the petitioner in planning and subsequent permitting phases:

Adequate sight triangles must be reserved at the existing/proposed street entrance(s). Two 35' x 35' sight triangles are required for the entrance(s) to meet requirements. All proposed trees, berms, walls, fences, and/or identification signs must not interfere with sight distance at the entrance(s). Such items should be identified on the site plan.

Any fence or wall constructed along or adjacent to any sidewalk or street right-of-way requires a certificate issued by CDOT.

A Right-of-Way Encroachment Agreement is required for the installation of any non-standard item(s) (irrigation systems, decorative concrete pavement, brick pavers, etc.) within a proposed/existing City maintained street right-of-way by a private individual, group, business, or homeowner's/business association. An encroachment agreement must be approved by CDOT prior to the construction/installation of the non-standard item(s). Contact CDOT for additional information concerning cost, submittal, and liability insurance coverage requirements.

To facilitate building permit/driveway permit review and approval, the site plan must be revised to include the following:

- Meet applicable standards in the Charlotte Land Development Standards Manual (latest revision).
- Dimension width of the existing and proposed driveways.
- New/reconstructed driveways must be drop curb Type II-Modified driveways.
- Indicate the locations and widths of all adjacent and opposing driveways.
- Indicate typical parking module dimensions.
- Include a parking summary with figures for the numbers of parking spaces required and provided.
- Directional wheelchair ramps (two per corner) should be provided at all intersections of public and private streets.

If we can be of further assistance, please advise.

SLP

c: R. H. Grochoske (via email)
S. L. Habina – Review Engineer (via email)
B. D. Horton (via email)
A. Christenbury (via email)
M. A. Makoid (via email)
Greater Galilee Baptist Church/Dexter Sneed (via email)
Shirley Fulton, Attorney (via email)
Rezoning File