Mr. Egan called to order the Regular August meeting of the Historic District Commission at 3:02 pm. He began the meeting by introducing the Staff and Commissioners and explaining the procedure. All interested parties planning to give testimony – pro or con – must have completed a blue form and must be sworn in. Mr. Howard or Mrs. Birmingham will present a description of the proposed project. HDC Staff will then make a Staff recommendation based on compliance with the Policy & Design Guidelines. The Commission and Staff may question the Applicant. The Applicant may present sworn witnesses who will be subject to questioning by the Commission and Staff. Other interested parties wishing to speak – pro or con – will be given reasonable time to present sworn testimony. The Applicant will be given an opportunity to respond to comments by interested parties. After hearing each application, the Commission will review, discuss, and consider the information that has been gathered and presented. A Motion for Approval, Deferral, or Denial will be made. All exhibits remain with the Commission. If an Applicant feels there is a conflict of interest of any Commissioner or there is an association that would be prejudicial, it will be revealed at the beginning of the hearing of a particular case. The
Commission is a quasi-judicial body and can accept only sworn testimony. Staff will report any additional comments received. While the Commission will not specifically exclude hearsay evidence, it is only given limited weight. Appeal from the Historic District Commission is to the Zoning Board of Adjustment. One has sixty (60) days from the date of the Approval or Denial to appeal. This is in accordance with Section 10.213 of the City Zoning Ordinance. Mr. Egan asked that everyone please turn to silent operation any electronic devices. Commissioners are asked to announce, for the record, if one leaves or arrives during the meeting. Mr. Egan said that those in the audience must be quiet during the hearings. He will ask once that an audience member be quiet and the need for a second request will be removal from the room.

Index of Addresses:

**CONTINUED APPLICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-098</td>
<td>325 E. Tremont Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-128</td>
<td>1701 Park Road</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-131</td>
<td>1400 Pecan Avenue</td>
<td>Plaza Midwood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NEW APPLICATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Neighborhood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-180</td>
<td>1400 Pecan Avenue</td>
<td>Plaza Midwood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-055</td>
<td>530 Hermitage Court</td>
<td>Hermitage Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-070</td>
<td>1700 Heathcliff Street</td>
<td>Wesley Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-100</td>
<td>409 Grandin Road</td>
<td>Wesley Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-139</td>
<td>800 E. Worthington Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-153</td>
<td>536 E. Tremont Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-160</td>
<td>1914 Dilworth Road</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-161</td>
<td>1165 Linganore Place</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-162</td>
<td>524 Walnut Avenue</td>
<td>Wesley Heights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-167</td>
<td>828 E. Worthington Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-168</td>
<td>425 Rensselaer Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-169</td>
<td>327 E. Worthington Avenue</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDC 2014-171</td>
<td>1901 Dilworth Road W</td>
<td>Dilworth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**APPLICATION:** **HDC 2014-098** – 325 East Tremont Avenue – New Construction.

Based on the need for additional information this application was continued from the July meeting for further design study. Revised plans will show 1) a scaled elevation with roof heights on the Tremont Avenue elevation, (2) massing of the roof, (3) context.

The site is located at the corner of Euclid Avenue and East Tremont Avenue and includes separate parcels. Existing structures include a single family structure and multi family structure. The 365 day stay of demolition has expired. The surrounding context is multi-family and single family development. The site has mature trees to the rear and within the planting strips along public streets.
The proposal is a 12 unit townhouse development - nine units will face public streets and three will be located to the rear of the site. Parking will be under each unit. The proposed setback along East Tremont Avenue is consistent with the previously approved multi-family project. The setback along Euclid Avenue is approximate to the existing building - 22’ from thermal wall to back of curb. The proposed buildings are two and one half to three stories in height. Exterior materials include cast stone, brick, wood siding, and smooth fiber cement siding. The units have useable balconies and porches.

**Revised Proposal – August 13, 2014**

1. **Scale** – The height of the corner structure at Euclid and East Tremont has been reduced from 38’ from FFE to approx. 33’-5” from FFE. The elevation of the primary structure along Euclid Ave. is approx. 34’-9”.
2. **Massing** – The dormers along Euclid Ave. are centered above the balconies. The roof height has been lowered slightly.
3. **Context** – A third material, wood shake, has been introduced on the third floor along E. Tremont Ave. along with entry stoops that address the sidewalk.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The commission will determine if the project meets the design guidelines for Scale, Massing and Context.

**FOR/AGAINST:**
- Neighborhood resident Jack Fenlon spoke in opposition.
- Neighborhood Resident Marcia Rowse spoke in opposition.
- Neighborhood resident Chris Hudson spoke in opposition.

**MOTION:** Based on the need for additional information, Mr. Duffy made a MOTION to CONTINUE this application. Revised plans will show 1) further design study above the gutterline, 2) 100% traditional building materials. Ms. Titus seconded.

**VOTE:** 5/2  
**AYES:** CORBUS, DUFFY, MARSHALL, LENHART, TITUS  
**NAYS:** BENDER, EGAN

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION CONTINUED.

**APPLICATION:** **HDC 2014-128** – 1701 Park Road – New Construction.

This application for new construction was continued from the July for further details.

The existing parcel is vacant. The surrounding context is a variety of single family structures, two story houses, and multi-family buildings. Building heights range between one and two stories. The topography slopes downward gradually from East Boulevard into Latta Park.
The proposal is for a new two story single family house that is approximately 29’ in height from finished floor elevation (FFE) to the ridge. The design features include a front porch with gable and a primary hipped roof, a porte cochere with a room over it, exposed rafter tails, eave brackets, 3 /1 windows, cedar shake and horizontal wood siding and stone on the foundation and chimney. The proposed setback is consistent with the house to the right.

Revised Proposal – August 13, 2014
1. Setbacks – The proposed setback is approximately 62’7” from right of way (ROW). The adjacent properties are approx. 65’ and 60’ from ROW.
2. Fenestration – Cross sections and window detail notes have been provided on the plans. Windows to be wooden double hung.
3. Details – Sections for porch column and beam, brackets and hand rails have been provided.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The commission will determine if the project meets the Policy & Design Guidelines.

FOR/AGAINST: Neighborhood Resident Marcia Rowse spoke in opposition.
Neighborhood resident Chris Hudson spoke in opposition.

MOTION: Based on the need for additional information Dr. Corbus made a MOTION to CONTINUE this application. Revised plans will show )1 rafter flare is consistent with other gables, 2) simplify front gable, 3) more information regarding dimensions, 4) site plan with dimensions, 5) setback information, 6) HVAC units located on site plan in the rear, 7) survey.
Mr. Lenhart seconded.

VOTE: 7/0 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, LENHART, TITUS
NAYS NONE

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION CONTINUED.

APPLICATION: HDC 2014-131 – 1400 Pecan Avenue – Garage

This application was continued from July for additional information regarding (1) Scale – The garage should be smaller than the house, (2) Context – Eliminate exposed rafter tails, (3) Massing – Lower the hinge point of the dormer, reduce size and change to a gable (4) Fenestration – Show proposed garage doors.

The c. 1925 existing structure is a one story home on a corner lot. The surrounding context is a mix of one, one and one half, and two story homes. The neighboring corner lot has a one car detached garage facing the side street. One of the two chimneys is to be removed.
The proposal is a detached two car garage in the rear yard with a planned accessory dwelling unit on the second story. Siding and trim materials will be wood. Other details include a carriage track type driveway, exposed rafter tails, and eave brackets.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The commission will determine if the *Policy & Design Guidelines* are met or if an exception is warranted.

**FOR/AGAINST:** No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.

**MOTION:** Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines* – GARAGE, Mr. Bender made a MOTION to APPROVE with revised drawings to come back for staff approval showing a wood door and the window removed from the left elevation. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

**VOTE:** 6/1  
**AYES:** BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, LENHART  
**NAYS:** TITUS

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR GARAGE APPROVED

---

**APPLICATION:**  
**HDC 2014-180** – 1400 Pecan Avenue – Chimney Removal

The existing structure is a one story home on a corner lot. The home was constructed in 1925. Original twin chimneys remain.

The proposal is to remove one chimney due to an interior renovation.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if the project meets the *Policy & Design Guidelines* regarding chimney removal or if an exception is warranted.

**FOR/AGAINST:** No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.

**MOTION:** Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines* – CHIMNEY REMOVAL, Ms. Titus made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Mr. Bender seconded.

**VOTE:** 6/1  
**AYES:** BENDER, CORBUS, EGAN, MARSHALL, LENHART, TITUS  
**NAYS:** DUFFY

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR REMOVAL OF ONE CHIMNEY IS APPROVED
APPLICATION:  **HDC 2014-055** – 530 Hermitage Court – Fenestration Changes.

This c. 1929 home is a two story home listed in the Myers Park National Register Survey as a Contributing structure. The purpose of this application is to tie up any loose ends of unapproved items.

A Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) was issued in 2011 for a front patio installation, rear addition and the addition of some windows. In 2012 a change was requested for the windows, a revised COA can not be found. The work has been completed. New full size windows on the upper left elevation are consistent in design and material with existing windows – same as added window on right elevation. The rear porch as built includes full floor to ceiling screening.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The new windows and the porch meet the guidelines.

**FOR/AGAINST:** A email was read from Mr. Wolfe an Adjacent Property Owner which must be considered hear say.

**MOTION:** Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines – FENESTRATION CHANGES AND REAR PORCH*, Mr. Lenhart made a MOTION to APPROVE as submitted Ms. Titus seconded.

**VOTE:** 7/0  
**AYES:** BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, LENHART, TITUS  
**NAYS:** NONE

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR FENESTRATION CHANGES AND REAR PORCH SCREENING APPROVED

---

APPLICATION: **HDC 2014-070** – 1700 Heathcliff Street – New Construction

The site is a triangular vacant lot on the edge of the Wesley Heights Local Historic District. The adjacent properties are one and a half and two story single family homes and a two story quadraplex. There are mature trees on the site. There is not an established front setback on the street.

The proposal is a new two story single family home with a continuous gable roof from front to rear. Primary exterior materials are cementitious siding, brick, and a standing seam metal roof over the front porch. The height from grade is approximately thirty feet and eight inches. Full size windows are six over one.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Commission will determine if the proposal meets the guidelines for new construction and make a determination as to if or not the documentation is adequate.

FOR/AGAINST: Adjacent property owner RacheL Ortiz spoke in opposition.

MOTION: Based on the need for additional information Dr. Corbus made a MOTION to CONTINUE. Revised drawings will show 1) stucco siding note removed, 2) shutters removed, 3) window on front removed and replaced with a vent, 4) fenestration lined up on left and right fenestrations, 5) simplify and match window headers on front to back, 6) adjacent setbacks, 7) call out building materials, 8) height of adjacent homes, 9) window sections, 10) site plan with AC units in the rear, 11) fireplace removed from site plan, 12) re study the metal roof, 13) a context exhibit, 14) traditional building materials, 16) vents on gable front and back drawn correctly. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

VOTE: 7/0 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, LENHART, TITUS

NAYS: NONE

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION CONTINUED.

MR. LENHART DECLARED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND REMOVED HIMSELF FROM THE COMMISSION FOR THE NEXT APPLICATION.

APPLICATION: HDC 2014-100 – 409 Grandin Road – Addition

An application was denied in May 2014 for non-compliance with the Policy & Design Guidelines in the areas of Size, Scale, Massing and Fenestration.

This c. 1927 home is listed as a Contributing structure in the Wesley Heights National Register Survey. It is a one story bungalow with a combination front and side gabled roof. Porch supports are wood posts standing on stone piers. The chimney exterior is also stone.

The revised application is submitted based on substantial changes from the denied application. A summary of the changes include:

1. Front porch and windows will remain
2. Second story height has been reduced
3. Expansions to the side have been reduced significantly
4. Front door and design will complement existing window pattern
5. Massing of second story has been reduced in height and volume (side elevations)
6. Fenestration design on the side elevations have been simplified
7. All windows on the right elevation have been retained. One window on the left elevation is proposed to be removed.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Commission will determine if substantial change has been made. If substantial change has been made, the Commission will determine if the changes meet the Policy & Design Guidelines or if an exception is warranted.

FOR/AGAINST: No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.

NOTE: It was agreed that the plans reflect a substantial change and the application was heard.

MOTION: Based on compliance with Policy & Design Guidelines – ADDITIONS, Dr. Corbus made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Ms. Marshall seconded.

VOTE: 6/0

AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, RISTAINO

NAYS: NONE

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR ADDITION IS APPROVED.

APPLICATION: HDC 2014-139 -800 E. Worthington Avenue – Addition/Fenestration changes.

The C. 1925 property is a one story bungalow designed by W.H. Peeps. It is identified as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. It features a low hipped roof and two chimneys. The site slopes downward from front to rear.

The proposal is a second story addition, partial addition to the rear and fenestration changes.

1. The second story addition starts behind the thermal wall and features a hipped roof plan with dormers on all sides and eave brackets on the side and rear. The proposed roof pitches will match existing. New siding will be wood lap. Existing chimneys will be raised. New windows will be wood clad STDL, casement and double hung. The overall height is approx. 22’ from FFE.
2. First floor right elevation – Proposed realignment/removal of existing window for alignment with second story dormer. Paired windows toward rear to be replaced with larger casement windows.
4. Left elevation – Installation of new egress windows on lower level and new windows on first level.
5. Rear elevation – Installation of new chimney. New rear porch with hipped roof, tapered columns and new windows.
STAFF RECOMMENDATION The Commission will determine if the additions and fenestration changes are Policy & Design Guidelines compliant or if an exception is warranted.

FOR/AGAINST: Neighborhood resident Corey Minese spoke in favor. Neighborhood resident Marcia Rowse spoke in favor. Neighborhood resident Mark Fisher spoken if favor.

MOTION: Based on compliance with Policy & Design Guidelines. Fenestration changes/Addition, Mr. Duffy made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Ms. Marshall seconded.

VOTE: 7/0 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL, RISTAINO

NAYS NONE

DECISION: APPLICATION APPROVED.

DR. CORBUS STEPPED OUT OF THE ROOM AND WAS NOT PRESENT TO HEAR THE NEXT APPLICATION.


This application was denied May 2014 for non-compliance with the Policy & Design Guidelines in the areas of Scale and Context.

NOTE: The Commission agreed that the plans application reflected a substantial change and heard the application.

The vacant parcel is a through lot with frontage on East Tremont Avenue and Dilworth Mews Court. The subdivision ordinance allows a home to be constructed because it meets the street frontage requirements on Dilworth Mews Court. The slope of the lot falls significantly from East Tremont Avenue down to a creek and up to Dilworth Mews. The surrounding context is a mix one, one and one half, and two story homes. Setbacks along the subject block are varied. However, the setbacks from the 600-630 side of East Tremont are generally deeper than the 502-528 side.

The proposal is a new two story home facing East Tremont Avenue with a detached garage accessed from Dilworth Mews Court. Because of the narrow lot configuration the width of the home is 20’ and the height is approximately 31’ - 8.5”. The front porch will be full width. Siding will be wood and cedar shingles in the gables. All windows will be Simulated Divided Light. The setback will be in line with the homes further from the street. The garage will have similar details as the home.
The revised application is resubmitted based on substantial changes from the denied application. A summary of the changes include:

1. The overall height has been reduced from 31’-8” to 26’-4”
2. The front porch has been redesigned to be full width
3. The second floor features a shed dormer in the front
4. The fenestration pattern on the front elevation is centered and symmetrical
5. The side elevations introduce a gable roof toward the front
6. The first floor elevation features wood lap siding and wood shingles on the second floor

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if the plans meet the *Policy & Design Guidelines* or if an exception is warranted.

**FOR/AGAINST:** No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.

**MOTION:** Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines* - New Construction Mr. Duffy MADE a MOTION to APPROVE with revised drawing showing established setback for staff to review. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

**VOTE:** 6/0

**AYES:** BENDER, DUFFY, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL, RISTAINO,

**NAYS**  NONE

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION WITH DRAWING TO SHOW ESTABLISHED SETBACK FOR STAFF FINAL APPROVAL

**APPLICATION:** **HDC 2014-160** – 1914 Dilworth Road West – Addition

The C. 1936 existing home is a two story brick Colonial. The house is listed as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. The street is curvilinear and setbacks are fairly consistent along the block face.

The proposal is a front porch addition with stone to match existing, relocation of steps to the front patio, the enclosure of the side porch with the addition of patio doors and windows, and the addition of two gabled dormers to the detached garage facing the street.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if the proposed additions meet the applicable guidelines.

**FOR/AGAINST:** No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.
MOTION: Based on compliance with Policy & Design Guidelines. Additions Mr. Duffy made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

VOTE: 6/1 AYES: BENDER, DUFFY, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL, RISTAINO

NAYS CORBUS

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR ADDITION APPROVED.

MR. DUFFY DECLARED A CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND REMOVED HIMSELF FROM THE COMMISSION FOR THE NEXT APPLICATION.


This c. 1930 existing home is a one and one half story Tudor Revival. It is listed as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey.

Proposed is the removal of a detached carport and construction of a one and one half story detached garage. The garage will be of a lower scale than the house. Details include a slate roof, and shed dormers. Carriage style doors, casement windows, and trim details will match the home.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Commission will determine if the garage meets the applicable Policy & Design Guidelines.

FOR/AGAINST: Neighborhood resident Marcia Rowse spoke in favor.

MOTION: Based on compliance with Policy & Design Guidelines, Ms. Marshall made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

VOTE: 6/0 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL, RISTAINO

NAYS NONE

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR GARAGE APPROVED

APPLICATION: HDC 2014-162 – 524 Walnut Avenue – Vinyl Windows
The existing, 1928 structure is a two story brick quadruplex. It is listed as a contributing structure in the Wesley Heights National Register survey.

The proposal is the replacement of the original wood windows with extruded vinyl windows. Several windows on the first story have been already been installed.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if an exception should be granted for the newly installed windows.

**FOR/AGAINST:** Neighborhood Resident John Caratelli spoke in opposition. Neighborhood Resident Thomasina Massey spoke in opposition.

**MOTION:** Based on no exception warranted to *Policy & Design Guidelines – Replacement Windows*, Ms. Titus made a MOTION to DENY the window replacement as installed and proposed. Ms. Marshall seconded.

**VOTE:** 7/0  
AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL, RISTAINO  
NAYS  NONE

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR VINYL WINDOW REPLACEMENT DENIED

**APPLICATION:**  
**HDC 2014-167** – 828 E. Worthington Avenue – Addition

The existing c. 1920 one story house is listed as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. The house is located on a corner lot.

The proposal is a second floor addition toward the rear and right side within the existing attic and a small rear first floor addition. A previously removed chimney will be rebuilt. The rear yard will be landscaped and includes the construction of a shed and fireplace. The addition is not taller or wider than the existing house but visible from the street.

Applicant Comments: Architect Allen Brooks shared that the State Historic Preservation Office is considering this proposed plan for a Preservation Tax Credit project.

Right and rear elevations – Siding proposed is lapped wood and shakes to match existing. New windows are double hung and casement with trim to match existing. Eave brackets and boxing details will match existing.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION** The Commission will determine if the additions meet the applicable *Policy & Design Guidelines*.

**FOR/AGAINST:** Neighborhood resident Marcia Rowse spoken in favor.
MOTION: Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines*. Addition, Dr. Corbus MADE a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Ms. Marshall seconded.

VOTE: 6/1 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, MARSHALL, RISTAINO

NAYS EGAN

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR ADDITION APPROVED.

---

APPLICATION: HDC 2014-169 – 327 E. Worthington Avenue – Addition/Fenestration Changes

This c. 1920 house is listed at a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. A second story addition was approved in October 2013, the COA was issued April 2014. A new application has been submitted to amend the recent approval.

The Proposal:
1. The proposal is to extend the approved addition farther to the rear but maintaining the approved height. The extension of the footprint is approximately 12’-6”. The addition is approximately 23’-3.5” wide, less than the width of the approved addition.
2. Side elevations – Revised window patterns on the first and second floor.
3. Rear elevation – Revised porch design. Windows centered under the dormer on the first floor. New roof design due to the proposed extension and width of proposed addition.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION: The Commission will determine if the proposed design meets the *Policy & Design Guidelines* for additions.

FOR/AGAINST: Neighborhood Resident Chris Hudson spoke in opposition.

MOTION: Based on compliance with *Policy & Design Guidelines – Additions*, Dr. Corbus made a MOTION to APPROVE with revised drawing to staff which show two over one windows and all traditional materials. Mr. Bender seconded.

VOTE: 6/1 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, LENHART, MARSHALL, TITUS

NAYS EGAN

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR ADDITION APPROVED WITH REVISIONS FOR STAFF REVIEW.
APPLICATION: **HDC 2014-171** – 1901 Dilworth Road West – Garage

The existing house is a c. 1927 one and one half story brick Colonial that is listed as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. The detached one story garage is accessed from East Worthington Avenue.

The proposal is to restore the garage. The structure will be raised enough for a new masonry foundation. Storage space will be added to the second level. New garage doors will be carriage style wood. A covered open area will be added to the side. New windows, trim and other details will match or compliment the house.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if the project meets the Policy & Design Guidelines for garages.

**FOR/AGAINST:** No one accepted Mr. Egan’s invitation to speak either FOR or AGAINST the application.

**MOTION:** Based on compliance with Policy & Design Guidelines, Ms. Titus made a MOTION to APPROVE this application as submitted. Mr. Lenhart seconded.

**VOTE:** 6/1

AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, LENHART, MARSHALL, TITUS

NAYS EGAN

**DECISION:** APPLICATION FOR GARAGE RENOVATION APPROVED

APPLICATION: **HDC 2014-168** – 425 Rensselaer Avenue - Garage

The existing C. 1930 home is a one story cottage style design. It is listed as a Contributing structure in the Dilworth National Register Survey. The lot is approximately 83’ in deep on the right side and the rear yard is approximately 19’ on the right side. Because the house itself encroaches into the rear yard setback, in 2012 the Zoning Administrator approved a right side yard location for a garage. A one and one half story garage (storage above) was staff approved November 2012 with notes that the height shall not exceed 16’. A second approval moved the stair from the inside to the outside rear. During construction the Zoning Inspector issued a Notice of Violation and Stop Work Order because the height exceeded 16’. The adjacent property has a detached garage next to this garage.

Proposed is a one and one half story detached garage with a height of approximately 19’-5”. Stair and deck area is to the rear. Exterior trim, windows and materials will match the house.

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:** The Commission will determine if the new detached garage meets the applicable Policy & Design Guidelines.
FOR/AGAINST: Neighborhood Resident Chris Hudson spoke in opposition.

MOTION: Based on the need for additional information Mr. Bender made a MOTION to CONTINUE the application. Revised plans will show 1) removal of the front gable vent, 2) all four elevations, 3) height approved at 19’5.25”, 4) windows are four over one, 5) all materials noted and traditional. Mr. Lenhart seconded. NOTE: The revised plans must show the garage that is intended to be built.

VOTE: 6/1 AYES: BENDER, CORBUS, DUFFY, EGAN, LENHART, MARSHALL

NAYS: TITUS

DECISION: APPLICATION FOR GARAGE CONTINUED

The July minutes were approved unanimously with corrections made by Ms Titus.

The meeting adjourned at 10:20 pm with a meeting length of seven hours and seventeen minutes.

Linda Keich, Clerk to the Historic District Commission